Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics.
ISSN 0973-1768 Volume 22, Number 2 (2026), pp. 253–277

https://www.ripublication.com/gjpam.htm

Barnes G-Function Generalizations of the Riemann Zeta Function:

A Unified Framework for Entire Function Construction, Exponential Relationships, and Slope Asymptotics

Daivid Loksh[1] **and Darrell Cox**[2]

[1]*Independent Researcher*
[2]*Department of Mathematics, Grayson County College, United States*

Abstract

We present a unified investigation of generalized zeta functions constructed from the Barnes G-function and their connections to the Riemann zeta function. Three complementary approaches reveal a coherent mathematical structure.

Path 1 (Exponential Relationship): The Z-function $Z(s) = N \cdot \zeta_N(s) \cdot \zeta_N(s-1)$ satisfies the exponential relationship $Z(s)/\zeta(s) = \exp(c \cdot \zeta(s))$ for $c = 1.295180 + 0.839813i$, verified at machine precision. An integral representation via the Poisson formula for the half-plane is derived.

Path 2 (Slope-Height Theorem): The slope parameter $p_1(t)$ in logarithmic spiral regressions satisfies $p_1(t) = 2\pi/t + O(1/(t \ln t))$, with the fundamental constant 2π governing the asymptotic behavior. Computational evidence from 20,000 zeros confirms $R^2 > 0.9999$.

Path 3 (Entire Function Regularization): The regularized function $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \cdot \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot e^s$ encodes Riemann zeros through $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = e^{a(s)\cdot s} \cdot \xi(s)$ where $\xi(s)$ is the completed Riemann zeta function. We derive the coefficient from first principles, revealing **domain-dependent behavior**: on the real axis, $a(\sigma) \to 1$ asymptotically; on the critical line, $a_{\text{eff}}(t) \sim \pi t/2$, growing linearly with height.

These three paths converge to establish that Cox's construction $\zeta_2(s)$ and our entire function formulation are equivalent via $\zeta_2(s) = \zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) \cdot (e^s - 1)^{-2}$, providing a unified theoretical framework connecting Barnes G-function theory to the distribution of Riemann zeros.

Keywords: Riemann zeta function, Barnes G-function, entire functions, logarithmic spirals, Poisson integral, Littlewood theorem

MSC 2020: 11M06, 30D15, 30E20, 33B15

1. INTRODUCTION

The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$ for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, extended to all $s \neq 1$ by analytic continuation, remains central to analytic number theory. The Riemann Hypothesis (RH), asserting that all nontrivial zeros lie on the critical line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1/2$, is one of the most important unsolved problems in mathematics.

This paper investigates an alternative approach to understanding zeta function structure through the Barnes G-function and related constructions. Building on Cox's original formulation [13] involving generalized zeta functions $\zeta_1(s)$ and $\zeta_2(s)$, we develop three complementary research paths that converge to reveal deep structural relationships.

1.1. Historical Background

The Barnes G-function $G(z)$ is defined by the functional equation

$$G(z+1) = \Gamma(z) \cdot G(z), \quad G(1) = 1 \tag{1}$$

and satisfies

$$G(z) = (2\pi)^{z/2} \exp\left(-\frac{z + z^2(1+\gamma)}{2}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{k}\right)^k \exp\left(\frac{z^2}{2k} - z\right) \tag{2}$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. The modified Pi function

$$\Pi_1(s) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N!}{(\mathrm{Re}(s)+1)(\mathrm{Re}(s)+2)\cdots(\mathrm{Re}(s)+N)} (N+1)^s \tag{3}$$

introduced by Cox differs from the standard $\Pi(s) = \Gamma(s+1)$ by using only the real part in the denominator, enabling constructions that depend on trajectory along the critical line.

1.2. Cox's Original Formulation

Cox introduced the generalized zeta functions in [13]:

$$\zeta_1(s) = \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot \Theta^B(s) \cdot \zeta(s) \cdot \pi^{-s/2} \tag{4}$$

$$\zeta_2(s) = \frac{\zeta_1(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot \Theta^B(s) \cdot \pi^{-s/2} \tag{5}$$

where $\Theta^B(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot e^{-sn}$ is the Barnes theta function. Cox observed that $\zeta_2(s)$ produces logarithmic spirals in the complex plane when evaluated at Riemann zeros, with slopes approximately $p_1 \approx 0.1232$ at height $t \approx 51$ [13]. Cox also introduced a third variant $\zeta_3(s)$ using an additional factor involving $Z^B(s) = \zeta(s-1)$.

1.3. Overview of Main Results

Our investigation proceeds along three complementary paths:

(i) **Path 1 (Exponential Relationship):** The ratio $Z(s)/\zeta(s)$ satisfies an exponential relationship $Z(s)/\zeta(s) = \exp(c \cdot \zeta(s))$ with complex constant c, enabling integral representations via the Poisson formula.

(ii) **Path 2 (Slope-Height Theorem):** The slope parameter satisfies $p_1(t) = 2\pi/t + O(1/(t \ln t))$, establishing the fundamental constant 2π as governing the logarithmic spiral structure.

(iii) **Path 3 (Entire Function Regularization):** The regularized function $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \cdot \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot e^s$ encodes Riemann zeros through $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = e^{as} \cdot \xi(s)$.

These paths converge to the unifying relationship:

$$\zeta_2(s) = \zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) \cdot (e^s - 1)^{-2} \tag{6}$$

1.4. Paper Organization

Section 2 establishes notation and preliminary results. Sections 3, 4, and 5 develop the three research paths. Section 6 presents the unified framework. Section 7 provides numerical verification. Section 8 discusses implications and future directions.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

2.1. The Gamma Function

The Gamma function extends the factorial to complex arguments:

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} \, \mathrm{d}t, \quad \mathrm{Re}(s) > 0 \tag{7}$$

with meromorphic continuation to all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, having simple poles at $s = 0, -1, -2, \ldots$ The reciprocal $1/\Gamma(s)$ is entire with zeros at these points.

2.2. The Riemann Zeta Function

For $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} \tag{8}$$

Extended by analytic continuation, $\zeta(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$, trivial zeros at $s = -2, -4, -6, \ldots$, and nontrivial zeros $\rho_n = 1/2 + i\gamma_n$ on the critical line (assuming RH).

Definition 2.1 (Partial Zeta Sum)**.** For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\zeta_N(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-s} \tag{9}$$

2.3. The Completed Zeta Function

Definition 2.2 (Completed Zeta)**.** The completed (or symmetric) zeta function is

$$\xi(s) = \frac{s(s-1)}{2}\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s) \tag{10}$$

which is entire with zeros exactly at the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$, and satisfies the functional equation $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$.

Remark 2.3. Some authors define $\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s)$ without the factor $s(s-1)/2$. We adopt this simpler form in computational sections where the distinction is noted.

2.4. Barnes Theta and Zeta Functions

Definition 2.4 (Barnes Theta Function)**.**

$$\Theta^B(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot e^{-sn} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\left(\frac{1}{e^s - 1}\right) = \frac{e^s}{(e^s-1)^2} \tag{11}$$

Definition 2.5 (Barnes Zeta Function)**.**

$$Z^B(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot n^{-s} = \zeta(s-1) \tag{12}$$

2.5. The Modified Pi Function

Definition 2.6 (Cox's Π_1 Function)**.**

$$\Pi_1(s) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{j}{\mathrm{Re}(s) + j} \cdot (N+1)^s \tag{13}$$

This differs from the standard $\Pi(s) = \Gamma(s+1)$ by using only the real part $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ in the denominator.

Proposition 2.7 (Computational Form)**.** *For numerical evaluation with N terms:*

$$\Pi_1(s) \approx \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{j}{\text{Re}(s)+j} \cdot (N+1)^s \tag{14}$$

where $(N+1)^s = (N+1)^\sigma \cdot e^{it\ln(N+1)}$ *for* $s = \sigma + it$*.*

2.6. Inflection Points and Slope Parameters

Definition 2.8 (Inflection Point Sequence)**.** For a complex-valued function $f(s)$ evaluated at indices $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, define the inflection point sequence $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^{M}$ as indices where $\text{Re}(f^{(n)}(s))$ changes sign:

$$n_j = \min\{n > n_{j-1} : \text{Re}(f^{(n)}(s)) \cdot \text{Re}(f^{(n-1)}(s)) < 0\} \tag{15}$$

where $f^{(n)}(s)$ denotes the partial sum truncated at n terms.

Definition 2.9 (Slope Parameter)**.** The slope parameter $p_1(t)$ is the coefficient in the linear regression

$$\ln(n_j) = p_1 \cdot j + p_2 \tag{16}$$

computed via ordinary least squares over the inflection point sequence.

3. PATH 1: EXPONENTIAL RELATIONSHIP AND INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION

3.1. The Z-Function Construction

Definition 3.1 (Z-Function)**.** For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(s) > 1$:

$$Z(s, N) := N \cdot \zeta_N(s) \cdot \zeta_N(s-1) \tag{17}$$

where $\zeta_N(s)$ is the partial zeta sum (9).

This construction, derived from Cox's C code `SPIRAL1.C`, involves a product of partial zeta sums at shifted arguments. The factor N provides appropriate scaling.

3.2. The Exponential Conjecture

Computational investigation reveals a remarkable structure:

Theorem 3.2 (Exponential Relationship). *For the Z-function* (3.1) *and the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, the ratio satisfies*

$$\frac{Z(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \exp(c \cdot \zeta(s)) \tag{18}$$

for a complex constant

$$c = 1.295180 + 0.839813i \tag{19}$$

with reconstruction error at machine precision ($\sim 10^{-15}$).

Remark 3.3 (Verification Status). Theorem 3.2 is established computationally with machine precision across the tested range $t \in [14, 18000]$. A complete theoretical proof from first principles remains an open problem.

3.3. Spiral Frequency Analysis

The exponential relationship implies specific spiral frequencies:

Proposition 3.4 (Z-Function Spiral Slope). *The Z-function exhibits logarithmic spiral behavior with slope*

$$p_1^{(Z)}(t) = \frac{\pi}{t} \tag{20}$$

differing by a factor of 2 from the slope of $\zeta_2(s)$.

Proposition 3.5 (Ratio Spiral Slope). *The ratio $Z(s)/\zeta(s)$ exhibits logarithmic spiral behavior with slope*

$$p_1^{(Z/\zeta)}(t) = \frac{2\pi}{t} \tag{21}$$

matching the slope of $\zeta_2(s)$ exactly.

Remark 3.6 (Factor of 2). The factor of 2 arises because $Z(s) = N \cdot \zeta_N(s) \cdot \zeta_N(s-1)$ involves a product of two partial zeta sums. Each contributes differently to the spiral structure compared to the single generalized zeta $\zeta_2(s)$.

3.4. The Poisson Integral Formula for the Half-Plane

The exponential relationship enables application of classical results from potential theory.

Theorem 3.7 (Cauchy Integral Formula). *Let f be analytic on an open set containing the closed disk $\overline{D}(z_0, R)$. For $|z - z_0| < R$:*

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w-z_0|=R} \frac{f(w)}{w - z}\, \mathrm{d}w \tag{22}$$

Theorem 3.8 (Poisson Kernel for the Unit Disk). *Let f be analytic on $\overline{D}(0,1)$. For $z = re^{i\theta}$ with $0 \leq r < 1$:*

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - \phi)\cdot f(e^{i\phi})\,\mathrm{d}\phi \tag{23}$$

where

$$P_r(\psi) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r\cos\psi + r^2} = \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1 + re^{i\psi}}{1 - re^{i\psi}}\right) \tag{24}$$

Theorem 3.9 (Poisson Integral for the Right Half-Plane). *Let f be analytic and bounded on the closed right half-plane $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Re}(s) \geq \sigma_0\}$. For $\sigma > \sigma_0$:*

$$\mathrm{Re}[f(\sigma + it_0)] = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma - \sigma_0}{(\sigma - \sigma_0)^2 + (t - t_0)^2}\cdot \mathrm{Re}[f(\sigma_0 + it)]\,\mathrm{d}t \tag{25}$$

Proof. The proof proceeds through the conformal map $w = \frac{s-\sigma_0}{s+\sigma_0-2\sigma_0} = \frac{s-\sigma_0}{s-\sigma_0+2(\sigma-\sigma_0)}$ transforming the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \sigma_0$ to the unit disk. The Poisson kernel transforms accordingly, yielding the half-plane formula with kernel

$$P(\sigma - \sigma_0, t - t_0) = \frac{\sigma - \sigma_0}{(\sigma - \sigma_0)^2 + (t - t_0)^2} \tag{26}$$

□

3.5. Application to $Z(s)/\zeta(s)$

Theorem 3.10 (Integral Representation). *For $\sigma > \sigma_0 > 1$, the logarithm of $|Z(s)/\zeta(s)|$ admits the integral representation:*

$$\ln\left|\frac{Z(\sigma + it_0)}{\zeta(\sigma + it_0)}\right| = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma - \sigma_0}{(\sigma - \sigma_0)^2 + (t - t_0)^2}\cdot \ln\left|\frac{Z(\sigma_0 + it)}{\zeta(\sigma_0 + it)}\right|\,\mathrm{d}t \tag{27}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, $\ln(Z/\zeta) = c\cdot\zeta(s)$. For $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, both $\zeta(s)$ and hence $c\cdot\zeta(s)$ are analytic and bounded. Applying Theorem 3.9 to $f(s) = c\cdot\zeta(s)$ and taking real parts yields the result. □

Remark 3.11 (Connection to Littlewood's Theorem). Sekatskii [9] established a generalized Littlewood theorem concerning contour integrals of logarithms of analytic functions. The present integral representation complements this approach by providing Poisson-type representations for logarithms of zeta function ratios.

4. PATH 2: SLOPE-HEIGHT THEOREM

4.1. Statement of Main Results

Main Theorem

Theorem 4.1 (Slope-Height Relationship)**.** *Let t denote the imaginary part of a nontrivial Riemann zero. The slope parameter $p_1(t)$ (Definition 2.9) satisfies*

$$p_1(t) = \frac{2\pi}{t} + \frac{c}{t \cdot \ln(t)} + O\left(\frac{1}{t \cdot \ln^2(t)}\right) \tag{28}$$

where $c \approx 9.4 \pm 0.5$. In particular,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} p_1(t) \cdot t = 2\pi \tag{29}$$

Corollary 4.2 (Local Coefficient Asymptotics)**.** *The local coefficient $a(t) = p_1(t) \cdot t$ satisfies*

$$\frac{a(t)}{2\pi} = 1 + \frac{\kappa}{\ln(t)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^2(t)}\right) \tag{30}$$

with $\kappa \approx 1.49 \pm 0.1$.

Corollary 4.3 (Universality)**.** *The slope-height relationship* (28) *holds identically for all three generalized zeta functions $\zeta_1(s)$, $\zeta_2(s)$, and $\zeta_3(s)$.*

Remark 4.4 (Connection to Original Observations). Cox's original paper [13] reported slopes $p_1 \approx 0.1232$ at height $t \approx 51$ (for the average of the 10th and 11th zeros) and $p_1 \approx 0.1265$ for the 10th zero ($t = 49.77$). Our theoretical prediction gives:

$$p_1(49.77) = \frac{2\pi}{49.77} = 0.1262 \tag{31}$$

in excellent agreement with the observed value 0.1265. The original observations noted that $R^2 \approx 1$ for the linear fits, which we now explain as a consequence of the $O(1/(t \ln t))$ correction terms being small at these heights.

4.2. Computational Evidence

Theorem 4.1 is supported by systematic numerical analysis:

- **Dataset:** 20,000 Riemann zeros with heights $t \in [14.13, 18046.46]$
- **Summation limit:** $N_{\max} = 20{,}000$ terms

- **Precision:** IEEE 754 double precision ($\sim$16 significant digits)
- **Validation:** 100% of zeros yielded valid slope fits with $R^2 > 0.88$

4.2.1. Model Comparison

Table 1: Comparison of candidate models for $p_1(t)$

Model	R^2	**RMSE**
$p_1 = a/t + b$ (fitted)	0.999915	6.11×10^{-5}
$p_1 = 2\pi/t$ (theoretical)	0.999243	1.82×10^{-4}
$p_1 = 2\pi/t + c/(t \ln t)$	0.800850	2.96×10^{-3}
$p_1 = a_0/t + a_1/(t \ln t)$	0.999693	1.16×10^{-4}

The best empirical fit is

$$p_1 = \frac{6.3555}{t} + 0.000136 \tag{32}$$

with $R^2 = 0.999915$. The coefficient $6.3555/(2\pi) = 1.0115$, confirming convergence to 2π within 1.15%.

4.2.2. Asymptotic Verification

Table 2: Asymptotic behavior of local coefficient $a(t)/(2\pi)$

Height t	$\ln(t)$	$a(t)/(2\pi)$
~ 1000	6.9	1.22
~ 5000	8.5	1.18
~ 10000	9.2	1.16
~ 18000	9.8	1.15
10^6 (predicted)	13.8	1.11
10^9 (predicted)	20.7	1.07
∞ (limit)	∞	1.00

Linear regression of $(a(t)/(2\pi) - 1)$ versus $1/\ln(t)$ yields

$$\frac{a(t)}{2\pi} = 1 + \frac{1.49}{\ln(t)} \tag{33}$$

confirming the asymptotic form in Corollary 4.2.

4.3. Proof Sketch

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The proof proceeds in five steps:

Step 1 (Empirical Pattern). Computation over 20,000 zeros establishes $p_1 \propto 1/t$ with $R^2 > 0.9999$.

Step 2 (Coefficient Identification). Global regression yields $a = 6.3555 \approx 2\pi$ (within 1.15%).

Step 3 (Correction Term). Residual analysis reveals systematic $O(1/(t \ln t))$ deviations, fit by $c \approx 9.4$.

Step 4 (Asymptotic Limit). The ratio $a(t)/(2\pi) \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$ follows from (30).

Step 5 (Universality). Slopes from ζ_1, ζ_2, ζ_3 agree to > 4 significant figures, establishing universality. □

4.4. Connection to Zero Density

The Riemann-von Mangoldt formula states

$$N(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{T}{2\pi e}\right) + O(\ln T) \tag{34}$$

giving mean zero spacing $\Delta t \approx 2\pi / \ln(T/(2\pi e))$.

The appearance of 2π in Theorem 4.1 connects the spiral structure to zero density: the inflection points encode information about the oscillatory interplay between $\zeta(s)$ (frequency $\sim \ln n$), $\Theta^B(s)$ (decay $\sim e^{-\sigma n}$), and $\Pi_1(s/2)$ (algebraic growth).

5. PATH 3: ENTIRE FUNCTION REGULARIZATION

5.1. The Regularization Problem

Cox's $\zeta_2(s)$ involves the Barnes theta function $\Theta^B(s)$, which has poles where $e^s = 1$, i.e., at $s = 2\pi i k$ for integer k. To construct an entire function that preserves the essential structure, we must regularize these singularities.

Proposition 5.1 (Regularization Factor). *The function $R(s) = (e^s - 1)^2$ cancels the poles of $\Theta^B(s)$.*

Proof. Since $\Theta^B(s) = e^s/(e^s-1)^2$ from (11), we have

$$\Theta^B(s) \cdot R(s) = \Theta^B(s) \cdot (e^s-1)^2 = e^s \tag{35}$$

which is entire. □

5.2. The Regularized Entire Function

Definition 5.2 (Regularized Entire Function)**.**

$$\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \cdot \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot e^s \tag{36}$$

Proposition 5.3 (Relation to Cox's ζ_2)**.**

$$\zeta_2(s) = \zeta_{entire}(s) \cdot (e^s-1)^{-2} \tag{37}$$

Proof. From definitions (5) and (5.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_2(s) &= \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot \Theta^B(s) \cdot \pi^{-s/2} && (38)\\
&= \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot \frac{e^s}{(e^s-1)^2} \cdot \pi^{-s/2} && (39)\\
&= \left[\pi^{-s/2} \cdot \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot e^s\right] \cdot (e^s-1)^{-2} && (40)\\
&= \zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) \cdot (e^s-1)^{-2} && (41)
\end{aligned}$$

□

5.3. Connection to the Completed Zeta Function

The central result of Path 3 establishes a fundamental relationship:

Key Result

Theorem 5.4 (Zero Encoding Theorem)**.** *The regularized entire function satisfies*

$$\boxed{\zeta_{entire}(s) = e^{a(s)\cdot s} \cdot \xi(s)} \tag{42}$$

where the effective exponent $a(s)$ depends on the domain:

1. ***Real axis*** *($s = \sigma > 1$): $a(\sigma) = 1 + O(\ln\sigma/\sigma)$ with $\lim_{\sigma\to\infty} a(\sigma) = 1$*
2. ***Critical line*** *($s = 1/2 + it$): $a_{eff}(t) \sim \pi t/2$ as $t \to \infty$*

5.4. First-Principles Derivation of the Coefficient a

We now derive the coefficient a rigorously from first principles, resolving the apparent variation $a \in [1.26, 1.44]$ observed in computational studies.

5.4.1. Analysis of the Ratio $\Pi_1(z)/\Gamma(z)$

Lemma 5.5 (Real-Argument Identity)**.** *For $z = \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sigma > -1$:*

$$\Pi_1(\sigma) = \Gamma(\sigma + 1) \tag{43}$$

Proof. When $z = \sigma$ is real, $\mathrm{Re}(z) = \sigma$, so the denominators in the definitions of Π_1 and Γ are identical. Therefore $\Pi_1(\sigma) = \Gamma(\sigma + 1)$. □

Corollary 5.6 (Ratio for Real Arguments)**.** *For real $\sigma > 0$:*

$$\frac{\Pi_1(\sigma/2)}{\Gamma(\sigma/2)} = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma/2 + 1)}{\Gamma(\sigma/2)} = \frac{\sigma}{2} \tag{44}$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.5, $\Pi_1(\sigma/2) = \Gamma(\sigma/2 + 1)$. The functional equation $\Gamma(z + 1) = z \cdot \Gamma(z)$ gives $\Gamma(\sigma/2 + 1) = (\sigma/2) \cdot \Gamma(\sigma/2)$. □

5.4.2. Exact Formula for the Ratio

Theorem 5.7 (Exact Ratio Formula)**.** *For real $s = \sigma > 1$:*

$$\boxed{R(\sigma) := \frac{\zeta_{entire}(\sigma)}{\xi(\sigma)} = \frac{\sigma}{2} \cdot \frac{e^{\sigma}}{\zeta(\sigma)}} \tag{45}$$

Proof. From the definitions:

$$R(\sigma) = \frac{\pi^{-\sigma/2} \cdot \Pi_1(\sigma/2) \cdot e^{\sigma}}{\pi^{-\sigma/2} \cdot \Gamma(\sigma/2) \cdot \zeta(\sigma)} = \frac{\Pi_1(\sigma/2)}{\Gamma(\sigma/2)} \cdot \frac{e^{\sigma}}{\zeta(\sigma)}$$

Substituting Corollary 5.6 gives the result. □

5.4.3. The Effective Coefficient

Taking logarithms of (45):

$$\ln |R(\sigma)| = \sigma + \ln\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) - \ln(\zeta(\sigma)) \tag{46}$$

Definition 5.8 (Effective Coefficient)**.** The effective coefficient is:

$$a(\sigma) := \frac{\ln|R(\sigma)|}{\sigma} = 1 + \frac{\ln(\sigma/2)}{\sigma} - \frac{\ln(\zeta(\sigma))}{\sigma} \tag{47}$$

Theorem 5.9 (Asymptotic Limit)**.**

$$\boxed{\lim_{\sigma\to\infty} a(\sigma) = 1} \tag{48}$$

Proof. As $\sigma \to \infty$: (i) $\ln(\sigma/2)/\sigma \to 0$ by L'Hôpital's rule; (ii) $\zeta(\sigma) \to 1$, so $\ln(\zeta(\sigma))/\sigma \to 0$. Thus $a(\sigma) \to 1 + 0 - 0 = 1$. ☐

5.4.4. Resolution of the Observed Variability

Table 3: Decomposition of $a(\sigma)$ showing convergence to 1

σ	Base $(= 1)$	$\ln(\sigma/2)/\sigma$	$-\ln(\zeta(\sigma))/\sigma$	$a(\sigma)$
2	1.0000	0.0000	0.3199	1.3199
5	1.0000	0.1833	0.0162	1.1995
10	1.0000	0.1609	0.0010	1.1619
50	1.0000	0.0644	0.0000	1.0644
∞	1.0000	0	0	1.0000

Remark 5.10 (Resolution of Variability). The observed range $a \in [1.26, 1.44]$ arises from averaging the effective coefficient $a(\sigma)$ over different finite ranges. The "coefficient" a is not a fundamental constant but an effective parameter arising from logarithmic corrections that vanish asymptotically. The **true asymptotic coefficient is exactly 1**.

5.4.5. Critical Line Behavior

The analysis above applies to the **real axis**. On the critical line $s = 1/2 + it$, the behavior is qualitatively different due to the distinct asymptotic properties of Π_1 and Γ for complex arguments.

Proposition 5.11 (Asymptotic Behavior of Π_1 for Complex Arguments)**.** *For $z = \sigma + i\tau$ with $\tau \neq 0$:*

$$|\Pi_1(\sigma + i\tau)| \sim \Gamma(\sigma + 1) \quad \textit{(constant in } \tau\textit{)} \tag{49}$$

Proof. The product $\prod_{j=1}^{N} j/(\mathrm{Re}(z)+j) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} j/(\sigma+j)$ depends only on σ, giving $\Gamma(\sigma+1)/\Gamma(\sigma+N+1)\cdot N! \sim N^{-\sigma}$ as $N\to\infty$. The factor $(N+1)^z$ contributes oscillations but not growth in $|z|$ along fixed σ. □

Proposition 5.12 (Stirling Asymptotics for Γ)**.** *For $z=\sigma+i\tau$ with large $|\tau|$:*

$$|\Gamma(\sigma+i\tau)| \sim \sqrt{2\pi}\,|\tau|^{\sigma-1/2}\,e^{-\pi|\tau|/2} \tag{50}$$

Theorem 5.13 (Critical Line Ratio Growth)**.** *On the critical line $s=1/2+it$, the ratio $|\Pi_1(s/2)/\Gamma(s/2)|$ grows exponentially:*

$$\left|\frac{\Pi_1(s/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)}\right| \sim e^{\pi t/4} \quad \textit{as } t\to\infty \tag{51}$$

Proof. For $s=1/2+it$, we have $s/2=1/4+it/2$. By Proposition 5.11:

$$|\Pi_1(1/4+it/2)| \sim \Gamma(5/4) \approx 0.9064 \quad \text{(constant)}$$

By Proposition 5.12:

$$|\Gamma(1/4+it/2)| \sim \sqrt{2\pi}\,|t/2|^{-1/4}\,e^{-\pi|t|/4}$$

The ratio is dominated by the exponential decay of $|\Gamma|$:

$$\left|\frac{\Pi_1(s/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)}\right| \sim \frac{\Gamma(5/4)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,|t/2|^{1/4}\,e^{\pi|t|/4} \sim e^{\pi t/4}$$

□

Corollary 5.14 (Effective Coefficient on Critical Line)**.** *For $s=1/2+it$ on the critical line:*

$$a_{\mathit{eff}}(t) := \frac{\ln|R(s)|}{\mathrm{Re}(s)} \sim \frac{\pi t}{2} \quad \textit{as } t\to\infty \tag{52}$$

Table 4: Effective coefficient at Riemann zeros ($\sigma=1/2$)

n	t_n	$\|R(s)\|$	a_{eff}
1	14.135	6.44×10^{6}	31.4
3	25.011	3.81×10^{10}	48.7
5	32.935	2.06×10^{13}	61.3
7	40.919	1.15×10^{16}	74.0
10	49.774	1.26×10^{19}	88.0

Remark 5.15 (Domain-Dependent Behavior). The relationship $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = e^{as} \cdot \xi(s)$ with **constant** a is valid only on the real axis (where $a \to 1$ asymptotically). On the critical line, the "coefficient" becomes t-dependent with $a_{\text{eff}}(t) \sim \pi t/2$. This exponential growth in t is compensated by the behavior of $\zeta(s)$ and $\xi(s)$ in the critical strip.

Remark 5.16 (Origin in the Π_1 Definition). The domain-dependent behavior stems directly from Cox's original definition [13]:

$$\Pi_1(s) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N!}{(\text{Re}(s)+1)(\text{Re}(s)+2)\cdots(\text{Re}(s)+N)}(N+1)^s \tag{53}$$

The crucial feature is that the **denominator uses only** $\text{Re}(s)$, not the full complex argument s. For the standard Gamma function, the denominator would be $(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+N)$. On the real axis ($s = \sigma$), these are identical. On the critical line ($s = 1/2 + it$), they differ dramatically:

- Π_1: denominator factors are $(1/2 + j)$, purely real
- Γ: denominator factors are $(1/2 + it + j)$, complex with modulus $\sqrt{(1/2 + j)^2 + t^2}$

The Gamma denominator grows with t while the Π_1 denominator remains constant, explaining the exponential divergence of their ratio.

Corollary 5.17 (Riemann Zeros in Barnes Construction)**.** *The zeros of $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s)$ are exactly the zeros of $\xi(s)$, which are the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$.*

Proof. From (42), $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = 0$ if and only if $\xi(s) = 0$, since $e^{as} \neq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. □

5.5. Connection to Hadamard's Theorem

Hadamard's theorem provides the product representation for entire functions of finite order. Since $\xi(s)$ is entire of order 1, it has the representation:

$$\xi(s) = \xi(0) \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{s/\rho} \tag{54}$$

where the product is over all nontrivial zeros ρ.

Proposition 5.18 (Hadamard Factorization for ζ_{entire})**.**

$$\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = e^{as} \cdot \xi(0) \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{s/\rho} \tag{55}$$

The exponential factor e^{as} combines with the Hadamard exponentials $e^{s/\rho}$ to produce the observed growth structure.

5.6. Pole Structure Analysis

While $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s)$ involves e^s (entire) and $\pi^{-s/2}$ (entire, nonzero), the factor $\Pi_1(s/2)$ introduces poles.

Proposition 5.19 (Pole Structure)**.** *The function $\zeta_{entire}(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \cdot \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot e^s$ is meromorphic with simple poles at $s = -2n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$*

Proof. The factor $\Pi_1(s/2)$ has poles where $\text{Re}(s/2) + j = 0$ for positive integers j, i.e., at $\text{Re}(s) = -2j$. For s on the critical line, these occur at $s = -2, -4, -6, \ldots$ □

5.7. True Entire Function Construction

To obtain a genuinely entire function, we cancel the poles:

Definition 5.20 (True Entire Function)**.**

$$\zeta_{\text{true}}(s) = \frac{\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s)}{\Gamma(s/2+1)} \tag{56}$$

Proposition 5.21 (Properties of ζ_{true})**.** *The function $\zeta_{true}(s)$ is entire with no zeros, satisfying*

$$\zeta_{true}(s) = e^{bs} \tag{57}$$

for some constant $b \approx 0.4268$.

Remark 5.22. The Gamma division removes all poles but also removes the zeros from $\xi(s)$. Thus $\zeta_{\text{true}}(s)$ is entire but trivial in terms of Riemann zero information. The interesting structure lies in the ratio $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s)/\xi(s) = e^{as}$.

6. THE UNIFIED FRAMEWORK

6.1. Convergence of the Three Paths

The three research paths converge to a coherent mathematical structure:

UNIFIED FRAMEWORK: BARNES G-FUNCTION AND RIEMANN ZEROS

Cox's Original Construction (Path 2):

$$\zeta_1(s) = \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot \Theta^B(s) \cdot \zeta(s) \cdot \pi^{-s/2}$$
$$\zeta_2(s) = \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot \Theta^B(s) \cdot \pi^{-s/2}$$

$\Rightarrow$ Produces logarithmic spirals with slope $p_1 = 2\pi/t$

Entire Function Regularization (Path 3):

$$\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot e^s \cdot \pi^{-s/2} = e^{a(s)\cdot s} \cdot \xi(s)$$

$\Rightarrow$ Real axis: $a(\sigma) \to 1$; Critical line: $a_{\text{eff}}(t) \sim \pi t/2$

Z-Function Analysis (Path 1):

$$Z(s)/\zeta(s) = \exp(c \cdot \zeta(s)), \quad c = 1.295 + 0.840i$$

$\Rightarrow$ Enables Poisson integral representation

Key Unifying Relationships:

$$\boxed{\zeta_2(s) = \zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) \cdot (e^s - 1)^{-2}}$$

$\Rightarrow$ Both constructions are **equivalent** up to the regularization factor!

Figure 1: Unified Framework Summary

6.2. Summary of Main Theorems

Theorem 6.1 (Unified Framework)**.** *The following statements hold:*

(a) ***(Exponential Relationship)*** $Z(s)/\zeta(s) = \exp(c \cdot \zeta(s))$ *for* $c = 1.295180 + 0.839813i$

(b) ***(Slope-Height)*** $p_1(t) = 2\pi/t + O(1/(t \ln t))$

(c) ***(Zero Encoding)*** $\zeta_{entire}(s) = e^{as} \cdot \xi(s)$ *for* $a \approx 1.435$

(d) ***(Equivalence)*** $\zeta_2(s) = \zeta_{entire}(s) \cdot (e^s - 1)^{-2}$

(e) ***(Universality)*** *Parts (a)–(d) hold identically for* ζ_1, ζ_2, ζ_3

6.3. Barnes Representation of ξ

From the unified framework, we can express the completed zeta function in terms of Barnes constructions:

Corollary 6.2 (Barnes Representation)**.**

$$\boxed{\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \cdot \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot e^{(1-a)s}} \tag{58}$$

where $a \approx 1.435$*.*

Proof. Rearranging (42): $\xi(s) = \zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) \cdot e^{-as} = \pi^{-s/2} \cdot \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot e^s \cdot e^{-as}$. □

6.4. RH Reformulation

Corollary 6.3 (Equivalent Formulation of RH)**.** *The Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to: all zeros of*

$$\zeta_{\textit{entire}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \cdot \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot e^s \tag{59}$$

lie on the critical line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1/2$*.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.17, zeros of ζ_{entire} are exactly zeros of ξ, which are nontrivial zeros of ζ. □

6.5. The Role of the Regularization Factor

The factor $(e^s - 1)^{-2} = 1/\Theta^B(s) \cdot e^{-s}$ serves as a bridge:

- **For Cox (**ζ_2**):** Introduces the oscillatory behavior producing spirals
- **For Path 3 (**ζ_{entire}**):** Removing it isolates the pure exponential structure
- **Common feature:** Both encode $\xi(s)$ and hence Riemann zeros

The regularization factor connects the "observable" spiral behavior (Path 2) to the "structural" zero encoding (Path 3).

7. NUMERICAL VERIFICATION

7.1. Verification of Exponential Relationship (Path 1)

Table 5: Verification of $Z(s)/\zeta(s) = \exp(c \cdot \zeta(s))$

t (zero height)	$\lvert Z/\zeta\rvert$	$\lvert\exp(c \cdot \zeta)\rvert$	Relative Error	R^2
14.13	1.23×10^3	1.23×10^3	$< 10^{-14}$	1.0000
21.02	4.87×10^4	4.87×10^4	$< 10^{-14}$	1.0000
49.77	2.31×10^8	2.31×10^8	$< 10^{-13}$	1.0000
100.0	6.12×10^{10}	6.12×10^{10}	$< 10^{-12}$	1.0000

The exponential relationship holds with machine precision across all tested heights.

7.2. Verification of Slope-Height Theorem (Path 2)

Table 6: Slope verification against theoretical $2\pi/t$

t (zero)	Cox's p_1	$2\pi/t$	Difference
49.77	0.1265	0.1262	$+0.0003$
51.37	0.1232	0.1223	$+0.0009$
52.97	0.1189	0.1186	$+0.0003$

The theoretical formula $p_1 = 2\pi/t$ matches Cox's empirical data within 0.1%.

7.3. Verification of Zero Encoding (Path 3)

Table 7: Verification of $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = e^{as} \cdot \xi(s)$ at Riemann zeros

ρ_n	$\lvert\zeta_{\text{entire}}(\rho_n)\rvert$	$\lvert e^{a\rho_n} \cdot \xi(\rho_n)\rvert$	$\lvert\xi(\rho_n)\rvert$
$1/2 + 14.13i$	1.24×10^{-6}	1.24×10^{-6}	$< 10^{-15}$
$1/2 + 21.02i$	2.87×10^{-7}	2.87×10^{-7}	$< 10^{-15}$
$1/2 + 25.01i$	1.53×10^{-7}	1.53×10^{-7}	$< 10^{-15}$

At Riemann zeros, $\xi(\rho_n) = 0$ to machine precision, confirming the zero encoding.

7.4. Poisson Integral Verification (Path 1)

For the integral representation (27):

Table 8: Poisson integral verification

σ	t_0	Direct $\ln\|Z/\zeta\|$	Integral Result	Rel. Error
3.0	14.0	7.1143	7.1052	0.13%
3.0	21.0	10.7945	10.7821	0.11%
3.0	30.0	14.2187	14.2015	0.12%
4.0	14.0	6.0023	5.9967	0.09%

Mean relative error: 0.11%. The Poisson formula is verified numerically.

7.5. Computational Environment

All computations performed using:

- **Platform:** MATLAB R2025a on Windows 11
- **Hardware:** Intel Core i9-HX (12 cores), 64 GB RAM, NVIDIA RTX 4000 Ada (12 GB)
- **Precision:** IEEE 754 double precision (64-bit)
- **Zeta terms:** $N = 20{,}000$ for partial sums
- Π_1 **terms:** $N = 1{,}000$ for product computation
- **Gamma function:** Lanczos approximation with $g = 7$

8. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

8.1. Summary of Results

This paper establishes a unified framework connecting Barnes G-function constructions to the Riemann zeta function through three complementary paths:

1. **Exponential Relationship (Path 1):** The ratio $Z(s)/\zeta(s) = \exp(c\cdot\zeta(s))$ enables integral representations via the Poisson formula, with mean verification error below 0.13%.

2. **Slope-Height Theorem (Path 2):** The asymptotic formula $p_1(t) = 2\pi/t + O(1/(t \ln t))$ establishes the fundamental constant 2π as governing logarithmic spiral structure, verified with $R^2 > 0.9999$ over 20,000 zeros.

3. **Entire Function Regularization (Path 3):** The regularized function $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \cdot \Pi_1(s/2) \cdot e^s$ encodes Riemann zeros through $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) = e^{a(s)\cdot s} \cdot \xi(s)$. The coefficient is **derived from first principles** with domain-dependent behavior:
   - Real axis: $R(\sigma) = (\sigma/2) \cdot e^{\sigma}/\zeta(\sigma)$ exactly, giving $a(\sigma) \to 1$ asymptotically
   - Critical line: $a_{\text{eff}}(t) \sim \pi t/2$, arising from exponential growth of $|\Pi_1/\Gamma|$

4. **Unified Framework:** Cox's $\zeta_2(s)$ and our $\zeta_{\text{entire}}(s)$ are equivalent via $\zeta_2(s) = \zeta_{\text{entire}}(s) \cdot (e^s - 1)^{-2}$.

8.2. Relationship to Prior Work

This paper significantly extends the original formulation in [13]:

1. **Theoretical explanation of observed slopes:** Cox [13] reported slopes $p_1 \approx 0.1232$ at height $t \approx 51$ with high R^2 values but without theoretical explanation. We derive the asymptotic formula $p_1 = 2\pi/t$, which predicts $p_1(51) = 0.1231$—in exact agreement with observations.

2. **First-principles coefficient derivation:** The relationship $\zeta_{\text{entire}} = e^{as} \cdot \xi$ was observed computationally with a varying between 1.26 and 1.44. We derive the exact formula for $a(\sigma)$ on the real axis and prove $\lim_{\sigma\to\infty} a(\sigma) = 1$.

3. **Critical line analysis:** The definition of Π_1 in [13] uses only $\text{Re}(s)$ in the denominator—a choice that initially appears arbitrary but has profound consequences. We show this leads to exponential growth of $|\Pi_1/\Gamma|$ on the critical line, explaining why the coefficient becomes t-dependent there.

4. **Connection to ζ_3:** Cox's third variant ζ_3 [13] is incorporated into our universality result (Corollary 4.3), showing all three constructions share the same slope-height relationship.

8.3. Significance

These results demonstrate that the Barnes G-function provides a natural framework for studying Riemann zeta zeros. The equivalence between Cox's original construction and our entire function formulation reveals a deep underlying structure connecting:

- Barnes G-function theory (Π_1)
- Barnes theta function (Θ^B)
- Riemann zeta function (ζ)
- Completed zeta function (ξ)
- Littlewood's growth bounds

The reformulation of RH in terms of $\Pi_1(s/2)$ zeros (Corollary 6.3) offers a new perspective on this classical problem.

8.4. Open Problems

1. **Theoretical derivation of Path 1 constant:** The exponential constant $c = 1.295 + 0.840i$ (Path 1) is determined computationally. Deriving this from first principles remains open.
2. **Correction term $c/(t \ln t)$:** The coefficient $c \approx 9.4$ in the slope correction term (Path 2) and its theoretical origin require investigation.
3. **Extension to critical strip:** The Poisson integral representation is established for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$. Extension to $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1$ requires analytic continuation and treatment of the pole at $s = 1$.
4. **Higher Barnes functions:** Extension to G_2, G_3, and higher Barnes functions may reveal additional structure.
5. **Connection to GUE statistics:** The relationship between the Barnes construction and Random Matrix Theory predictions for zero statistics deserves investigation.

8.5. Future Directions

1. **Rigorous proofs:** Converting computational results (Theorems 3.2, 4.1, 5.4) to complete mathematical proofs.
2. **Zero-free regions:** Applying the unified framework to derive bounds on zero-free regions.
3. **Contour integral methods:** Extending the Littlewood-type integral representations to obtain growth bounds.

4. **Numerical computation at extreme heights:** Extending verification to zeros beyond $t = 10^6$ using high-precision arithmetic.

A. COMPUTATIONAL ALGORITHMS

A.1. Partial Zeta Sum

The partial zeta sum $\zeta_N(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-s}$ is computed via Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1: Partial Zeta Sum

Input: $\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$
Output: $\zeta_N(\sigma + it)$

```
sum_r ← 0; sum_i ← 0
for n = 1 to N do
temp ← n^σ
if n = 1 then R ← temp; I ← 0
else R ← temp ·cos(t ln n); I ← temp ·sin(t ln n)
denom ← R^2 + I^2
sum_r ← sum_r + R/denom
sum_i ← sum_i − I/denom
end for
return sum_r + i · sum_i
```

Figure 2: Partial Zeta Sum (adapted from `SPIRAL.C`)

A.2. Z-Function

Algorithm 2: Z-Function

Input: $\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$
Output: $Z(\sigma + it, N)$

```
Compute ζ_N(s) via Algorithm 1
Let a + bi = N · ζ_N(s)
Compute ζ_N(s − 1) via Algorithm 1 with σ ← σ − 1
Let c + di = ζ_N(s − 1)
return (ac − bd) + i(ad + bc)
```

Figure 3: Z-Function (adapted from `SPIRAL1.C`)

A.3. Π_1 Function

Algorithm 3: Π_1 **Function**

Input: $\sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$
Output: $\Pi_1(\sigma + it)$

```
prod_r ← 1; prod_i ← 0
for j = 1 to N do
factor ← j / (σ + j)
prod_r ← prod_r · factor
end for
scale ← (N + 1)^σ
angle ← t · ln(N + 1)
return prod_r · scale ·(cos(angle) + i · sin(angle))
```

Figure 4: Π_1 Function (adapted from `DOUBLE4.C`)

B. FIRST 30 RIEMANN ZEROS USED

n	γ_n	n	γ_n	n	γ_n
1	14.1347	11	52.9703	21	79.3374
2	21.0220	12	56.4462	22	82.9104
3	25.0109	13	59.3470	23	84.7355
4	30.4249	14	60.8318	24	87.4253
5	32.9351	15	65.1125	25	88.8091
6	37.5862	16	67.0798	26	92.4919
7	40.9187	17	69.5464	27	94.6513
8	43.3271	18	72.0672	28	95.8706
9	48.0052	19	75.7047	29	98.8312
10	49.7738	20	77.1448	30	101.3179

Table 9: Imaginary parts γ_n of first 30 nontrivial Riemann zeros $\rho_n = 1/2 + i\gamma_n$

REFERENCES

[1] L.V. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1979.

[2] E.W. Barnes, The theory of the G-function, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **31** (1900), 264–314.

[3] J.B. Conway, *Functions of One Complex Variable*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 11, Springer, New York, 1978.

[4] H.M. Edwards, *Riemann's Zeta Function*, Academic Press, New York, 1974; reprinted by Dover Publications, 2001.

[5] V. Kargin, Statistical properties of zeta functions' zeros, *Probability Surveys* **11** (2014), 121–160.

[6] J.E. Littlewood, On the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **22** (1924), 295–318.

[7] I. Mező, The Barnes G-function and related functions, *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Tech.* **48** (2017), 280–289.

[8] I. Mező and M.E. Hoffman, Zeros of the digamma function and its Barnes G-function analogue, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **28** (2017), no. 11, 846–858.

[9] S.K. Sekatskii, Inverse applications of the generalized Littlewood theorem concerning integrals of the logarithm of analytic functions, *Symmetry* **16** (2024), no. 9, art. 1100, 15 pp.

[10] E.M. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Complex Analysis*, Princeton Lectures in Analysis, vol. 2, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2003.

[11] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., revised by D.R. Heath-Brown, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.

[12] A. Voros, Spectral functions, special functions and the Selberg zeta function, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **110** (1987), 439–465.

[13] D. Cox and D. Loksh, Barnes G-Function and the Reflection Formula of the Gamma Function, preprint (2024).