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Abstract
To fully evaluate the potential of MIMO technology in power line communication, the channel properties should be thoroughly analyzed. In this paper, efficacy of MIMO technology is studied for Indian residences. For this, the frequency response of the channel, the stationary noise and the impulsive noise are extensively measured. The frequency response is modeled using the multi-conductor transmission line theory and both the noises are statistically modeled. The channel capacity and average channel gain of the MIMO power line communication is also estimated.
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INTRODUCTION
Though, the power line is hostile to data transmission, the wide coverage of the network as always attracted by the researchers. For this reason, the PLC is termed as last mile alternative for other contemporary communication technologies [1]. Implementation of MIMO technology in PLC has also the advantage of achieving higher speed and maximum data rate.

In a typical single-input single-output (SISO) PLC, the data is transmitted/received between Live (L) and Neutral (N) ports. But for MIMO PLC, the earth (E) wire will also be used, in addition, for data communication. Since, the single phase wiring in Indian residences, generally, contains all the three wires; a 3x3 MIMO communication should be possible. But, as per Kirchhoff’s current law, only N-1 i.e., 2 ports can be used for transmitting and/or receiving data.

To develop an effective and reliable PLC system, the power line channel should be meticulously analyzed in terms of noise, attenuation and impedance mismatches. For this, ETSI has launched STF 410 to analyze the channel properties in Europe [2][3][4]. In similar lines, this paper analyses the efficacy of PLC in Indian residences.

![Figure 1: Typical residential wiring](image)

The power line channel models, developed so far, are basically an extension of either top-down approach or bottom-up approach. The former is based on the concept of multipath propagation and is built on extensive measurements carried out and the latter is using the transmission line theory built on the data obtained from topology of the network [5].

Though the top-down procedure involves simple computations compared to bottom-up approach, it may not be the best choice, when a large diverse network is to be dealt with [6]. Hence for modeling, the MTL theory is preferred in this paper [7].

The EMI in the power lines can be modeled using the Middleton Class A model or the Markov-Middleton Model. The former is a simple model with only three parameters and the latter has memory component in addition. But as per [8],...
stochastic models are more suitable and represent the complete behavior of noise.

In this paper, an experimental setup is developed in an Indian residence to characterize the MIMO PLC. With the setup, the Channel Frequency Response (CFR) and the impedance of the electrical network is measured. In addition to this, the stationary noise and impulsive noise on the power line is also measured and statistically modeled. The measurements for this are carried out using a R&S ZVL network analyzer, R&S FSL spectrum analyzer and Agilent DSOX3014A Oscilloscope.

In this paper the CFR is modeled based on the intrinsic parameters estimated from the physical conditions of the network. Though a difference in measured and simulated CFR is noticed, the Average Channel (ACG) for both is almost same. The variation in response can be attributed to the dynamic nature of the network and the assumptions made in this work. For evaluating the channel capacity of modeled channel, the noise is obtained from the statistical analysis.

The succeeding sections of the paper are organized as follows. In section II, the Indian residential networks are studied in detail for implementation of PLC. In section III, the channel modeling of MIMO PLC channels is carried out. In section IV, experimental analysis was carried out to measure the CFR, stationary noise and Impulsive noise. In section V, the CFR is modeled and the channel capacity and average channel gain were simulated.

RESIDENTIAL NETWORKS FOR PLC

The Indian residential network, typically, contains live, neutral and earth wires, of equal cross-section, as shown in Fig. 1. These wires are loosely twisted and are placed in a conduit pipe between the main distribution panel (entrance of the building) and the power outlets located across the building. These power outlets can be of 6 A or 16 A depending upon the appliance to be connected and hence the wiring cross-section connecting these outlets may not be uniform. The loads connected to the power lines are also very diverse in nature and so is their affect on the power line channel. Though, in general, the appliances require all the three wires, the lighting loads or low power loads need only live and neutral. Due to this, the CFR is varied for different ports of the power line channel.

To model a MIMO PLC system, the four fundamental parameters resistance (R), inductance (L), capacitance (C) and conductance (G) need to be estimated for the three different wires of the cable [9].

A. Distributed Parameters

The four primary parameters of a power lines are measured for a two-wire transmission line and then extended to a 3-core cable.

The Resistance of the single wire is given as

$$ R = \frac{1}{\pi \delta s} \left[ \frac{D}{\sqrt{(D/2)^2 - r^2}} \right] \quad (1) $$

The skin depth is obtained by

$$ \delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi f \mu \sigma}} \quad (2) $$

Here r and D being the conductor radius and distance between the conductors respectively, \( \sigma \) and \( \mu \) being cable conductivity and permeability respectively.

However, since stranded wiring with radius \( r_s \) is used, the correction factor will be

$$ X_{RS} = \frac{\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{r_s-\delta}{r_s}\right)}{2r_s\delta} \quad (3) $$

The total inductance of a cable is given as

$$ L = \frac{\pi}{2} \cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{D}{2r}\right) + \frac{R}{2\pi f} \quad (4) $$

The correction factor for the stranded conductor is given as

$$ X_{LS} = \frac{n_s \pi r_s^2}{\pi r^2} \quad (5) $$

Here \( n_s \) represent the strands in the conductor.

As per [10], the inductance is directly affected due to skin effect and the correction factor is given as

$$ X_{LK} = \frac{\delta}{0.135 \sqrt{0.53 \times r_s}} \quad (6) $$

In addition to (5) and (6), the earth wire and the cable geometry will also affect the inductance.

The capacitance of the cable is affected by the twisting of the wires, cable geometry, and conduit capacitance. The correction factor for the dielectric constant (\( \varepsilon_{eq} \)) of a power line with T twists is given as [11]

$$ X_{TW} = 0.45 + \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \times \frac{180}{\pi} \times 10^{-3} \quad (7) $$

For the geometry of the cable, the three wires are not symmetrically placed. The capacitance between any two conductors is given as

$$ C_{cbl} = \frac{\pi \varepsilon_{eq}}{\ln\left[\frac{r^2}{(D/2)^2} + \frac{D^2}{(D/2)^2} - 1\right]} \quad (8) $$

Here, \( \varepsilon_{eq} \) is the equivalent dielectric constant obtained by

$$ \varepsilon_{eq} = 1 + X_T (\varepsilon_r - 1) \quad (9) $$

As per [12], the capacitance is given as

$$ C = \frac{3}{2} \frac{C_{cbl}}{2} + \frac{C_{cond}}{2} \quad (10) $$

Where \( C_{cond} \) is the capacitance between the wires and the
conduit which is given as

\[ C_{\text{conduit}} = \frac{\pi \varepsilon_c}{\ln(b/R)} \]  

(11)

Here, with a conduit radius of b, the permittivity includes the air in between the cable and conduit. The cable conductance is expressed as

\[ G_{\text{cable}} = 2\pi f C_T \tan\delta \]  

(12)

The cable conductance is influenced by the conduit and can be directly derived from the capacitance

\[ G = \frac{S_{\text{cable}} C_T}{C_{\text{cable}}} \]  

(13)

B. MIMO PLC System

The data through power line can be transmitted through a differential signal between L-N or L-E or N-E. For SISO communication, signal is fed through any one the port and for MIMO communication, the signal is fed through multiple ports simultaneously.

\[ R = \begin{bmatrix} R_L + R_E & R_E \\ R_E & R_N + R_E \end{bmatrix} \]  

(14)

\[ L = \begin{bmatrix} L_L & L_M \\ L_M & L_N \end{bmatrix} \]  

(15)

\[ C = \begin{bmatrix} C_{LE} + C_{LN} & -C_{LN} \\ -C_{LN} & C_{NE} + C_{LN} \end{bmatrix} \]  

(16)

\[ G = \begin{bmatrix} G_{LE} + G_{LN} & -G_{LN} \\ -G_{LN} & G_{NE} + G_{LN} \end{bmatrix} \]  

(17)

For the distributed parameters obtained from (14) to (17), the impedance and propagation constant are

\[ Z = \sqrt{(R_{\text{MIMO}} + j\omega L_{\text{MIMO}})/(G_{\text{MIMO}} + j\omega C_{\text{MIMO}})} \]  

(18)

\[ \gamma = \sqrt{(R_{\text{MIMO}} + j\omega C_{\text{MIMO}})(G_{\text{MIMO}} + j\omega C_{\text{MIMO}})} \]  

(19)

Here, \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of the signal.

MIMO PLC CHANNEL MODELING

To design an effective PLC system, it is important to have an accurate Channel model. The top-down method is based on multipath propagation and can be modeled only with a large number of measurements. The problem of dynamic variation of loads cannot be addressed with this method.

The bottom-up approach of channel model can deal with any number of branches and with wide variety of loads. In this paper, a physical- deterministic model based on bottom-up approach is developed to estimate the CFR. The advantage of this method is the ease to handle the MIMO communication irrespective of the size and the complexity of the network.

The transmission line model of residential network can be represented as in Figure 3. It reflects the various domestic appliances connected to the multiple branches of the network. These appliances can be a light source, TV, refrigerator, ACs etc. Based on the load connected or the ampere rating of the plug socket, the cross-section of the wiring also differs. The wiring cross-section can be 1.5 mm\(^2\) or 2.5 mm\(^2\) depending upon the load requirement. Another interesting aspect of the residential network is that these appliances are not continuously operated and this leads to variation in load impedance and attenuation of the network.

\[ Z_i \] is the internal impedance of the voltage source Es. \( L_k \) (K=1,...n) is the wire length between the branches. The internal impedance and propagation constant for the branches, with length \( L_{k1} \) (K=1,...n) and load impedance \( Z_{k1} \) (K=1,...n), are obtained using (18) and (19) respectively. For this,
The complex residential network can be simplified by applying the chain matrix theory. Using this, the complex multi-branch network can be divided into several parts and each part represented with a matrix. The first part will be the source and the last part will be load and the remaining parts will be separated at the branches. In other words, the second part will be from the source to the first branch, with the first branch included and the second branch will be between first branch and second branch, with the second branch included. These parts are obtained in matrix form using equation (9) to (12).

\[
T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & Z_S \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

(20)

\[
T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(y_1 l_1) + \frac{Z_{01}}{Z_{ib1}} & Z_01 \sinh(y_1 l_1) \\ \frac{1}{Z_{01} \sinh(y_1 l_1)} + \frac{\cosh(y_1 l_1)}{Z_{ib1}} & \cosh(y_1 l_1) \end{bmatrix}
\]

(21)

\[
T_{N+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(y_N l_N) + \frac{Z_{0N}}{Z_{ibN}} & Z_0N \sinh(y_N l_N) \\ \frac{1}{Z_{0N} \sinh(y_N l_N)} + \frac{\cosh(y_N l_N)}{Z_{ibN}} & \cosh(y_N l_N) \end{bmatrix}
\]

(22)

\[
T_{N+2} = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(y_L l_L) + \frac{Z_{0L}}{Z_L} & Z_0L \sinh(y_L l_L) \\ \frac{1}{Z_L \sinh(y_L l_L)} + \frac{\cosh(y_L l_L)}{Z_L} & \cosh(y_L l_L) \end{bmatrix}
\]

(23)

From the matrices obtained for each part, the whole network can be explained as

\[
T = \prod_{i=1}^{N+2} T_i = \begin{bmatrix} T_{aa} & T_{ab} \\ T_{ba} & T_{bb} \end{bmatrix}
\]

(24)

In this section, the CFR of the power line is measured on all the three ports. The signal strength is measured on both co-channels and cross-channels. Co-channels are the one on which the data can be transmitted and received through the same ports and cross-channels are those on which the data is transmitted from a port and received from another ports. The measurements were carried out using a vector network analyser in the frequency range of 1-100 MHz and a carrier frequency of 500 kHz.

From the Fig. 4, it can be noticed that the CFR of LN-LN port is better than other ports. The NE-NE port can be of the least priority when a 2x2 MIMO communication has to be used. Due to the coupling effect the data transmitted from a port will be received by the remaining ports and thus establishing a cross-channel communication. In the Fig. 5, it can be noticed that, for the signal transmitted from LN port, the CFR is better for LE receiver port than NE port. The observations from Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 are confirmed from the literature [7].

\[
H(f) = \frac{Z_L}{(T_{aa}Z_L + T_{ab} + T_{ba}Z_SZ_L + T_{bb}Z_S)}
\]

(25)

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

For characterizing the power line, the measuring devices are connected through a coupling interface to avoid any direct contact of the high voltage supply. The coupling circuit is also combined with surge protection devices (SPDs) to avoid unpredictable transients and surges.

A. Channel Frequency Response

![Figure 4: CFR for co-channels](image)

B. Stationary noise

This stationary noise or background noise is a result of noise produced by the electrical appliances. These disturbances vary with time and will affect the maximum achievable transmission rate of the channel. The noise in the power line is
measured in the frequency range of 1-100 MHz using a spectrum analyzer. A total of 200 noise measurements on LN, LE and NE are recorded for every 15 minutes.

\[ S(f) = a + bf^c \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

Here, the parameters, a, b, c represent the variation in noise due to time and location. The statistical parameters of these values are for L, LE and NE are shown in Table I.

| TABLE I. STATISTICAL PARAMETERS OF STATIONARY NOISE |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                | a              | b              | c              |
| **Stationary Noise in L-N** |                |                |                |
| Min            | 306946         | -0.72          | 126.18         |
| Max            | 2197168        | -0.6           | 128.5          |
| Average        | 957865         | -0.66          | 127.0          |
| Standard Deviation | 487653    | 0.03           | 0.505          |
| **Stationary Noise in L-E** |                |                |                |
| Min            | 187898         | -0.74          | 126.0          |
| Max            | 2688913        | -0.56          | 127.8          |
| Average        | 1134584        | -0.66          | 126.9          |
| Standard Deviation | 649892      | 0.042          | 0.4            |
| **Stationary Noise in N-E** |                |                |                |
| Min            | 399336         | -0.74          | 126.3          |
| Max            | 2785355        | -0.61          | 127.8          |
| Average        | 1355489        | -0.68          | 126.8          |
| Standard Deviation | 703397     | 0.036          | 0.33           |

From the figure, it can be noticed that, the LE channel is less affected when compared to other channels. The radio interference can also be noticed on all the channels.

**C. Impulsive noise**

The appliances connected to the network will exhibit impulsive noises on the channel. These disturbances are very dynamic in nature and are in the form of single pulse or repetitive pulse with short durations and are either damped sinusoids or exponential sinusoids.

The experiment was carried out on 15 different sockets of all the three ports of the residence using a Digital oscilloscope at a sampling frequency of 1.25 Gs/sec. The statistical parameters of the impulsive noise is as shown in Table II.

| TABLE II. STATISTICAL PARAMETERS OF IMPULSIVE NOISE |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                | Pulse Width (ms) | Duration (ms) | Amplitude (mV) |
| **Impulsive Noise in L-N** |                |                |                |
| Min            | 0.82            | 1.2            | 17.2           |
| Max            | 3.48            | 19.65          | 52             |
| Average        | 1.677           | 5.75           | 34.58          |
| Standard Deviation | 0.627          | 3.807          | 9.87           |
| **Impulsive Noise in L-E** |                |                |                |
| Min            | 0.74            | 0.98           | 17.8           |
| Max            | 1.78            | 4.92           | 31.2           |
| Average        | 1.45            | 2.10           | 26.2           |
| Standard Deviation | 0.48           | 1.88           | 5.94           |
| **Impulsive Noise in N-E** |                |                |                |
| Min            | 1.3             | 1.46           | 14.2           |
| Max            | 4.38            | 9.6            | 54             |
| Average        | 2.42            | 5.04           | 36.18          |
| Standard Deviation | 0.80           | 2.66           | 12.075         |

**SIMULATION AND RESULTS**

A. Channel Frequency Response

For simulating the CFR, a fire retardant stranded house wire of 2.5 sq.mm and an insulation thickness of 0.8 mm is assumed.
across the network. The conduit size is of ½” with the branches scattered throughout the length.

For the 2x2 PLC channel model, the CFR of LN-LN and LN-LE port are simulated and is shown in Fig. 7. The results obtained from simulation and the experimental results as per Fig. 5 confirm that port LN-LN has the least signal attenuation.

Figure 7: Simulated CFR of MIMO PLC

The phase response of the simulated channel generator is also shown in Fig. 8.

Figure 8: Simulated phase response of MIMO PLC

B. Channel Capacity

The maximum possible transmission rate of a PLC channel can be realized by estimating the channel capacity. The Channel capacity for a SISO channel is given as [14]:

$$C_{SISO} = \Delta f \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{L} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{P(f_n) |H(f_n)|^2}{N(f_n)} \right) \text{ bit/s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Here P(f) and N(f) are PSD and NSD in dBm/Hz at transmitter and receiver ports respectively. \(\Delta f\) and L are the carrier width and number of carriers.

These values are obtained from the experimental results and the statistical modeling carried out and is shown in Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III. CHANNEL PARAMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier width ((\Delta f))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of carriers (L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD (P(f))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSD (N(f))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a 2x2 MIMO Channel, the estimation of Channel capacity is explained in [14]:

$$C_{MIMO} = \Delta f \cdot \log_2 \left( \det \left( I_n + \frac{\text{SNR}}{\text{NF}} HH^H \right) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

For a MIMO PLC system with LN, LE has transmitter and receiver ports, the channel matrix can be written as

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_{LN-LN} & H_{LN-LE} \\ H_{LE-LN} & H_{LE-LE} \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

The channel capacity for MIMO PLC can be obtained by diagonalizing the product matrix of \(HH^H\) using singular value decomposition (SVD).

Figure 9: Comparison of channel capacity

A considerable increase in channel capacity is noticed when a MIMO PLC is used. The minimum capacity of 2x2 MIMO
channel is 6.45 Gbps and for LN-LN and LN-LE port is 3.95 Gbits and 3.6 Gbps respectively. The maximum capacity of 2x2 MIMO channel is 10 Gbps and for both LN-LN and LN-LE port is 6.6 Gbps. The average channel capacity of 2x2 MIMO channel is 9 Gbps and for for both LN-LN and LN-LE port is 5.3 Gbps.

C. Average Channel Gain

The ACG can be expressed as the average attenuation and is expressed as

$$ \text{ACG}_{\text{dB}} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{1}{f_2-f_1} \int_{f_1}^{f_2} |H(f)| \, df \right) $$

For the CFR $H(f)$, $f_1$ and $f_2$ are at 0.5 MHz and 100 MHz respectively. For the simulated CFRs, the average channel gain of LN-LN is 31.93 dB and for LN-LE is 32.35 dB.

CONCLUSION

A detailed analysis is carried out on the Indian residential networks for characterizing the MIMO power line channel. Though the channel transfer function is developed based on the multi-conductor transmission line theory, the noise in the channel is modeled on statistical analysis. From the results, a significant increase in the channel capacity is noticed for a 2x2 MIMO system as compared to the SISO communication channels. The Average Channel Gain is also proved to be same for both experimental and simulated CFRs. This value is equal to the ACG estimated for Brazilian In-home PLC channels [15].
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