

Constructions on Bi-Cubic Subgroup Structures

R. Nagarajan¹ and K. Balamurugan²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, J J College of Engg & Tech,
Trichirappalli-09, India.

E-mail: nagalogesh@yahoo.co.in

²Assistant Professor, M.A.M School of Engineering, Trichirappalli-105, India.

E-mail: bala.algebra@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of bi-cubic subgroup and related properties are investigated. Using S_G -idempotent interval t-conorm, we study the characterizations of a bi-cubic subgroups are established and how the images or inverse images of bi-cubic subgroups become bi-cubic subgroups.

AMS classification (2010): 06F35, 03G25, 08A72

Keywords: bi-cubic sets, bi-cubic group, cubic level set, S_G -idempotent interval fuzzy group.

1. Introduction

The theory of fuzzy set was first developed by Zadeh[9] and has been applied to many branches in mathematics. Later fuzzification of the “Group” concept into “Fuzzy subgroup” was made by Rosenfeld[8]. This work was the first fuzzification of any algebraic structures and thus opened a new direction, new exploration, new path of thinking to mathematicians, engineers, computer scientists and many others in various tests. Also, Zadeh[9] have introduced the concept of fuzzy set by an interval-valued fuzzy set (i.e. a fuzzy set with an interval-valued membership function) and he constructed a method of approximate inference using his interval-valued set, interval-valued fuzzy subgroup where first defined and studied by Biswas[1]. Based on the interval-valued fuzzy set, Jun et al[3] introduced the notion of cubic sub algebras/ideals in BCK/BCI- algebra and then they investigated several properties. They discussed relationship between a cubic sub algebra and a cubic ideal. Also they provided characterization of cubic sub algebras/ideals, and considered a method to make a new

of cubic sub algebra from old method. Jun et al[5] introduced the notion of cubic o- sub algebras and cloud cubic ideals in BCK/BCI algebra , and then they investigated to several properties. They also investigated a condition for a cubic set in a BCK – algebra with condition(s) to be a cubic ideal. Finally, they dealt with a characterization of a cubic ideal in a BCK/BCI- algebra.

Jun et al [4]introduced the notion of cubic q-ideals in BCI-algebras. They discovered relation between a cubic ideal and cubic q-ideal and provided conditions for a cubic ideal to be a cubic q-ideal. They also established characterizations of q-ideals and considered the cubic extension property for a cubic q-ideal.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic definitions for the sake of completeness.

In what follows let G denote a group unless otherwise specified.

2.1 Definition [9]

Let G be a non-empty set . A fuzzy subset μ on G is defined by $\mu : G \rightarrow [0,1]$ for all $x \in G$.

2.2 Definition [8]

Let μ be a fuzzy subset in a group G . Then μ is called a fuzzy subgroup of G if (i) $\mu(xy) \geq \min\{ \mu(x), \mu(y) \}$ for all $x, y \in G$ (ii) $\mu(x^{-1}) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

An interval number on $[0, 1]$, say \tilde{a} , is a closed subinterval of $[0, 1]$, that is

$\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+]$ where $0 \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq 1$. Let $D[0,1]$ denotes the family of all closed sub intervals of $[0,1]$, $\tilde{0}=[0,0]$ and $\tilde{1}=[1,1]$. Let us define what is known as refined minimum (briefly $rmin$) of two elements in $D[0,1]$. Now we define “ \leq ”, “ \geq ”, “ $=$ ”, “ $rmin$ ”, “ $rmax$ ” in case of two elements in $D[0,1]$. Consider two elements $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+]$ and $\tilde{b} = [b^-, b^+]$ in $D[0,1]$, then

- (i) $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$ if and only if $a^- \leq b^-$ and $a^+ \leq b^+$,
- (ii) $\tilde{a} \geq \tilde{b}$ if and only if $a^- \geq b^-$ and $a^+ \geq b^+$,
- (iii) $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$ if and only if $a^- = b^-$ and $a^+ = b^+$,
- (iv) $rmin\{ \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \} = [\min\{ a^-, b^- \} , \min\{ a^+, b^+ \}]$,
- (v) $rmax\{ \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \} = [\max\{ a^-, b^- \} , \max\{ a^+, b^+ \}]$.

2.3 Definition [7]

Let G be a set. An interval-valued fuzzy set A defined on G is given by

$A = \{ (x, \mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)) \}$, for all $x \in G$. Briefly denote A by $A = [\mu_A^-, \mu_A^+]$ where μ_A^- and μ_A^+ are Lower and Upper fuzzy sets in G such that $\mu_A^-(x) \leq \mu_A^+(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

2.4 Definition [2]

An interval-valued fuzzy set ‘ A ’ in G is called an interval-valued fuzzy subgroup of G if

- (i) $\tilde{\mu}_A(xy) \geq rmin\{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \}$ for all $x, y \in G$,
- (ii) $\tilde{\mu}_A(x^{-1}) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

2.5 Definition [2]

A mapping $S: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a t-conorm if for every $x, y, z \in [0,1]$, it satisfies the following conditions :

- (i) $S(x,0) = x$,
- (ii) $S(x,y) = S(y,x)$,
- (iii) $S(S(x,y),z) = S(x,S(y,z))$,
- (iv) $S(x,y) \leq S(x,z)$, if $y \leq z$.

Let 'S' be a t-conorm, if for arbitrary $x \in [0,1]$, it satisfies $S(x,x) = x$, then S is called an idempotent t-conorm.

2.6 Definition [2]

Let S be an idempotent t-conorm. Define the mapping $S_G: D[0,1] \times D[0,1] \rightarrow D[0,1]$ by $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) \rightarrow S_G(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = [S(a^-, b^-), S(a^+, b^+)]$, then S_G is called an idempotent interval t-conorm.

2.7 Definition [2]

Let G be a group and S_G be an idempotent interval t-conorm. An interval-valued fuzzy set A in G is called an S_G -interval-valued fuzzy subgroup of G if the following condition hold,

- (i) $\tilde{\mu}_A(xy) \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \}$ for all $x, y \in G$,
- (ii) $\tilde{\mu}_A(x^{-1}) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

2.8 Definition

Let G be a non-empty set. A bi-cubic set A in a set G is a structure $A = \{ (x, \tilde{\mu}_A(x), V_A(x)) : x \in G \}$ which is briefly denoted by $A = \langle \tilde{\mu}_A, V_A \rangle$ where $\tilde{\mu}_A = [\mu_A^-, \mu_A^+]$ is an interval-valued fuzzy set in G, V_A is a vague set in G. Denote by $C(G)$ the family of bi-cubic in a set G.

2.9 Definition

Let G be a group and S_G be an idempotent interval t-conorm. A bi-cubic set $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ in G is called a bi-cubic subgroup of G if it satisfies: for all $x, y \in G$,

- (i) $\tilde{\mu}_A(xy) \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \}$
- (ii) $\tilde{\mu}_A(x^{-1}) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$
- (iii) $V_A(xy) \geq \min \{ V_A(x), V_A(y) \}$
- (iv) $V_A(x^{-1}) \geq V_A(x)$

Example: Let G be the Klein's four group. We have $G = \{e, a, b, ab\}$ where $a^2 = e = b^2$ and

$$ab = ba. \text{ We define } \tilde{\mu}_A = [\mu_A^-, \mu_A^+] \text{ and } V_A = [t_A, f_A] \text{ by}$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_A = \begin{pmatrix} e & a & b & ab \\ [0.2, 0.6] & [0.3, 0.7] & [0.5, 0.8] & [0.3, 0.7] \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$V_A = \begin{pmatrix} e & a & b & ab \\ (0.4, 0.8) & (0.1, 0.6) & (0.2, 0.8) & (0.3, 0.5) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ is a bi-cubic group.

2.10 Definition [2]

Let $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ be a bi-cubic set in a set G , $(\gamma, \delta) \in [0, 1]$ and $[\alpha, \beta] \in D[0, 1]$ the set $\cup (A ; [\alpha, \beta], (\gamma, \delta)) = \{ x \in G / \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \leq [\alpha, \beta], V_A(x) \geq (\gamma, \delta) \}$ is called the cubic level set of A .

3. Properties of Bi-Cubic Groups**3.1 Proposition**

Let $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ be a bi-cubic subgroup of G . Then $\tilde{\mu}_A(x^{-1}) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ and $V_A(x^{-1}) = V_A(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

Proof: For all $x \in G$, we have $\tilde{\mu}_A(x) = \tilde{\mu}_A((x^{-1})^{-1}) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x^{-1}) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ and $V_A(x) = V_A((x^{-1})^{-1}) \geq V_A(x^{-1}) \geq V_A(x)$. Hence $\tilde{\mu}_A(x^{-1}) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ and $V_A(x^{-1}) = V_A(x)$.

3.2 Proposition

A bi-cubic set $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ in G is a bi-cubic subgroup of G if and only if it satisfies

- (i) $\tilde{\mu}_A(xy^{-1}) \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \}$
- (ii) $V_A(xy^{-1}) \geq \min \{ V_A(x), V_A(y) \}$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Proof: Assume that $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ is a bi-cubic subgroup of G and let $x, y \in G$.

Then $\tilde{\mu}_A(xy^{-1}) \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y^{-1}) \} = S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \}$ proposition 3.1 and $V_A(xy^{-1}) \geq \min \{ V_A(x), V_A(y^{-1}) \} = \min \{ V_A(x), V_A(y) \}$ by proposition 3.1.

Conversely, suppose that (I) and (II) are valid. If we take $y = x$ in (I) and (II), then $\tilde{\mu}_A(e) = \tilde{\mu}_A(xx^{-1}) \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \} = \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ and

$V_A(e) = V_A(xx^{-1}) \geq \min \{ V_A(x), V_A(x) \} = V_A(x)$. It follows from (I) and

(II) that

$$\tilde{\mu}_A(y^{-1}) = \tilde{\mu}_A(ey^{-1}) \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(e), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \} = \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \text{ and}$$

$$V_A(y^{-1}) = V_A(ey^{-1}) \geq \min \{ V_A(e), V_A(y) \} = V_A(y)$$

So that $\tilde{\mu}_A(xy) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x(y^{-1})^{-1}) \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y^{-1}) \} \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \}$ and

$$V_A(xy) = V_A(x(y^{-1})^{-1}) \geq \min \{ V_A(x), V_A(y^{-1}) \} \geq \min \{ V_A(x), V_A(y) \}.$$

Therefore $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ is a bi-cubic subgroup of G .

3.3 Proposition

Let $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ be a bi-cubic subgroup of G , then $\tilde{\mu}_A(e) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$ and $V_A(e) \geq V_A(x)$ for all $x \in G$, where e is the identity element in G .

Proof: Let $x \in G$, Using proposition-3.2 we have

$$\tilde{\mu}_A(e) = \tilde{\mu}_A(xx^{-1}) \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \} = \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \text{ and}$$

$$V_A(e) = V_A(xx^{-1}) \geq \min \{ V_A(x), V_A(x) \} = V_A(x), \text{ this complete the proof.}$$

3.4 Proposition

If $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ be a bi-cubic subgroup of G , then the set

$S = \{ x \in G / \tilde{\mu}_A(x) = \tilde{\mu}_A(e), V_A(x) = V_A(e) \}$ is a subgroup of G .

Proof: Let $x, y \in G$, then $\tilde{\mu}_A(x) = \tilde{\mu}_A(e) = \tilde{\mu}_A(y)$ and $V_A(x) = V_A(e) = V_A(y)$. It follows from

proposition-3.2 that $\tilde{\mu}_A(xy^{-1}) \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \} = \tilde{\mu}_A(e)$ and $V_A(xy^{-1}) \geq \min \{ V_A(x), V_A(y) \} = V_A(e)$ so from proposition-3.3 that $\tilde{\mu}_A(xy^{-1}) = \tilde{\mu}_A(e)$ and $V_A(xy^{-1}) = V_A(e)$. Hence $xy^{-1} \in S$, and so S is a sub group of G .

3.5 Proposition

Let $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ be a bi-cubic subgroup of G , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ is a bi-cubic subgroup of G .
- (ii) The non empty cubic level set of $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ is a sub group of G .

Proof: Assume that $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ is a bi-cubic subgroup of G . Let $x, y \in U(A: [\alpha, \beta], (\gamma, \delta))$ for all $(\gamma, \delta) \in [0, 1]$ and $[\alpha, \beta] \in D[0, 1]$, then $\tilde{\mu}_A(x) \leq [\alpha, \beta]$, $V_A(x) \geq (\gamma, \delta)$ and $\tilde{\mu}_A(y) \leq [\alpha, \beta]$, $V_A(y) \geq (\gamma, \delta)$. It follows from the proposition-3.2 that $\tilde{\mu}_A(xy^{-1}) \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \} \leq [\alpha, \beta]$ and $V_A(xy^{-1}) \geq \min \{ V_A(x), V_A(y) \} \geq (\gamma, \delta)$ so that $xy^{-1} \in U(A: [\alpha, \beta], (\gamma, \delta))$. Therefore the non empty cubic level set of $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ is a subgroup of G .

Conversely, let $(\gamma, \delta) \in [0, 1]$ and $[\alpha, \beta] \in D[0, 1]$ be such that $U(A: [\alpha, \beta], (\gamma, \delta)) \neq \emptyset$, and $U(A: [\alpha, \beta], (\gamma, \delta))$ is a subgroup of G . Suppose that the Proposition 3.2 (i) is not true and proposition 3.2 (ii) is valid. Then there exists $[\alpha_0, \beta_0] \in D[0, 1]$ and $a, b \in G$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_A(ab^{-1}) \geq [\alpha_0, \beta_0] \geq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(a), \tilde{\mu}_A(b) \}$ and $V_A(ab^{-1}) \geq \min \{ V_A(a), V_A(b) \}$. It follows that $a, b \in U(A: [\alpha_0, \beta_0], \min \{ V_A(a), V_A(b) \})$ but $ab^{-1} \in U(A: [\alpha_0, \beta_0], \min \{ V_A(a), V_A(b) \})$. This is contradiction of Proposition 3.2 (i) is true and proposition 3.2 (ii) is not valid, then $\tilde{\mu}_A(ab^{-1}) \leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(a), \tilde{\mu}_A(b) \}$ and $V_A(ab^{-1}) \leq (\gamma_0, \delta_0) \leq \min \{ V_A(a), V_A(b) \}$ for some $(\gamma_0, \delta_0) \in [0, 1]$ and $a, b \in G$. Thus $a, b \in U(A: S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(a), \tilde{\mu}_A(b) \}, (\gamma_0, \delta_0))$ but $ab^{-1} \in U(A: S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(a), \tilde{\mu}_A(b) \}, (\gamma_0, \delta_0))$ which is contradiction. Assume that there exists $[\alpha_0, \beta_0] \in D[0, 1]$, $(\gamma_0, \delta_0) \in [0, 1]$ and $a, b \in G$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_A(ab^{-1}) \geq [\alpha_0, \beta_0] \geq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(a), \tilde{\mu}_A(b) \}$ and $V_A(ab^{-1}) \leq (\gamma_0, \delta_0) \leq \min \{ V_A(a), V_A(b) \}$ then $a, b \in U(A: [\alpha_0, \beta_0], (\gamma_0, \delta_0))$ but $ab^{-1} \in U(A: [\alpha_0, \beta_0], (\gamma_0, \delta_0))$. This is also a contradiction. Hence (i) and (ii) of proposition-3.2 are true. Therefore A is a bi-cubic subgroup of G .

3.6 Proposition

Let $f: G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism of groups. If $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ is a bi-cubic subgroup of G' then $A^f = (\tilde{\mu}_A^f, V_A^f)$ is bicubic sub group of G .

Proof: Let $x, y \in G$,
 $\tilde{\mu}_A^f(xy) = \tilde{\mu}_A(f(xy))$
 $= \tilde{\mu}_A(f(x)f(y))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(f(x)), \tilde{\mu}_A(f(y)) \} \\
&= S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A^f(x), \tilde{\mu}_A^f(y) \} \\
&\tilde{\mu}_A^f(x^{-1}) = \tilde{\mu}_A^f(x^{-1}). \\
&\leq \tilde{\mu}_A^f(x) \\
&= \tilde{\mu}_A^f(x) \text{ and} \\
&V_A^f(xy) = V_A(f(xy)) \\
&= V_A(f(x)f(y)) \\
&\geq \min \{ V_A(f(x)), V_A(f(y)) \} \\
&= \min \{ V_A^f(x), V_A^f(y) \} \\
&V_A^f(x^{-1}) = V_A^f(x^{-1}). \\
&\geq V_A^f(x) \\
&A^f = (\tilde{\mu}_A^f, V_A^f) \text{ is bicubic sub group of } G.
\end{aligned}$$

3.7 Proposition

Let A be a bi-cubic set in G . If $(\tilde{\mu}_A, V_A)$ be a S_G bi-cubic subgroup of G , then $(\tilde{\mu}_A^r, V_A^r)$ is T_G bi-cubic subgroup of G .

Proof: Let A be a bi-cubic subgroup of G , then for all $x, y \in G$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mu}_A^r(xy) = G / \tilde{\mu}_A(xy) \\
&\leq (G / S_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \}) \\
&= T_G \{ (G / \tilde{\mu}_A(x)), (G / \tilde{\mu}_A(y)) \} \\
&= T_G \{ \tilde{\mu}_A^r(x), \tilde{\mu}_A^r(y) \} \text{ where } T_G \text{ is the idempotent t-norm in } G. \\
&\tilde{\mu}_A^r(x^{-1}) = G / \tilde{\mu}_A(x^{-1}) \\
&\leq G / \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \\
&= \tilde{\mu}_A^r(x) \\
&V_A^r(xy) = G / V_A(xy) \\
&\geq (G / \min \{ V_A(x), V_A(y) \}) \\
&= \max \{ (G / V_A(x)), (G / V_A(y)) \} \\
&= \max \{ V_A^r(x), V_A^r(y) \} \\
&V_A^r(x^{-1}) = G / V_A(x^{-1}) \\
&\geq G / V_A(x) \\
&= V_A^r(x) \\
&(\tilde{\mu}_A^r, V_A^r) \text{ is anti bi-cubic subgroup of } G.
\end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

Jun et al introduced the notion of cubic ideal in BCK Algebra and cubic-o sub algebras. In this paper, we have to investigate the concept of bi-cubic group and its characterization.

Future Work

One can obtain the similar results by changing soft sets or rough sets instead of vague set.

Acknowledgement

The authors are highly grateful to the referees for the valuable comments & suggestions for improving the paper.

References

- [1] R.Biswas, Rosenfeld's fuzzy subgroups with interval-valued membership functions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 63 (1994) 87 - 90.
- [2] B.Davvaz, TH and SH - interval-valued fuzzy Hv -subgroups, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 35(2004) 61 - 69.
- [3] Y.B Jun, C.S Kim and M.S Kong, cubic subalgebras and ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, For East J.math,sci(FJMS) 44 (2010),239-250
- [4] Y.B.Jun,C.S.Kim and J.G.Kang,cubic q -ideals of BCI-algebras, Ann.Fuzzymath.In6.1(2011)25-34.
- [5] Y.B.Jun and K.J.Lee,closed cubic ideals and cubic o-subalgebras in BCK/BCI algebras, Appl.math.sci(puss).
- [6] Y.B.Jun S.T.Jung, M.S.Kim. cubicsubgroups.Ann.Fuzzy math .Inf. 2(2011) 9-15
- [7] A.Kordi,A.Moussavi,A.Ahmadi, Fuzzy H-ideals of BCI-Algebras with intervalued member-ship functions, International Mathematical Forum 27(2008) 1327 - 1388.
- [8] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35 (1971) 512 - 517.
- [9] A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965), 338 - 353.

Biography:

Dr. R. Nagarajan has been working as Associate Professor and Head i/c of Mathematics in J.J.College of Engineering & technologh from September 2011 to till date. He has 16 years of experience in the field of Teaching. He has completed his M.Sc., from Bharathidasan University, Trichy M.Phil in the field of **Minimal graphoidal cover of a graph** from Alagappa University, Karaikudi. He also did B.Ed., degree in Bharathiar University, Coimbatore. He Started his career as a lecturer in National College from 1995-2000(self-financing).He Received his Ph.D degree in the

field of **Fuzzy Techniques in Algebra** from Bharathidasan University, July 2011, Trichy. He has Published 30 research articles in International Journals and 4 in National Journals. He has presented many research papers in various national and international conferences. His area of interests are Fuzzy Algebraic Structures, Fuzzy Topological Structures, Fuzzy Decision making and Fuzzy Optimisations.

Prof. K. Balamurugan has been working as Assistant Professor of Mathematics in M.A.M. School of Engineering since 2012. He has 8 years of experience in the field of teaching.