

- (X, d) is a complete cone 2-metric space if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X .

Lemma 2.1: ([14]) Let $P \subset A$. If we have,

- $a \preceq \lambda a$ with $a \in P$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, then $a = \theta$.
- $\theta \preceq a \ll c$ for each $\theta \ll c$, then $a = \theta$.
- $x_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then for any $\theta \ll c$, there is a natural number N such that $x_n \ll c$ for all $n > N$.

Proposition 2.1([11]) Let A be a Banach Algebra with a unit e and $x \in A$. If the spectral radius $r(x) < 1$,

$$i. e., r(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{n^{1/n}} = \inf_{n \geq 1} x^{n^{1/n}} < 1,$$

then $e - x$ is invertible and $(e - x)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i$.

Lemma 2.2: ([14]) For a Banach Algebra A with a unit e ,

- $r(x) \leq x$ for all $x \in A$.
- If $r(k) < 1$ where $k \in P$, we have $(e - k)^{-1} \in P$.
- If $r(k) < 1$, then $k^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.3: ([14]) Let $x, y \in A$. If x and y commute, then

- $r(xy) \leq r(x)r(y)$
- $r(x + y) \leq r(x) + r(y)$
- $|r(x) - r(y)| \leq r(x - y)$

Lemma 2.4: ([14]) Let A be a Banach Algebra and let k be a vector in A . If $0 \leq r(k) < 1$, then we have $r((e - k)^{-1}) \leq (1 - r(k))^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.5: ([16]) If A is a real Banach space with a solid cone P and if $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then for any $\theta \ll c$, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_1$, we have $x_n \ll c$.

Proposition 2.2 Let $P \subset A$. Then

- A sequence $\{u_n\} \subset P$ is a c -sequence if for each $\theta \ll c$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_n \ll c$ for all $n \geq n_0$.
- If $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are c -sequences in P then for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in P$; $\{\alpha u_n + \beta v_n + \gamma w_n\}$ is a c -sequence
- For any $u, v \in A$ and $k \in P$, we have $ku \preceq kv$ whenever $u \preceq v$.

Proposition 2.3:([16]) Let P be a solid cone in the Banach algebra A . Let $x \in A$. If $k \in P$ and $x \ll c$ for any $\theta \ll c$, then $kx \ll c$ for any $\theta \ll c$.

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1: ([4]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a self-mapping of X satisfying the condition for all $x, y \in X$, $d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, Tx) + bd(y, Ty) + cd(x, Ty) + ed(y, Tx) + fd(x, y)$

(3. 1)

Where a, b, c, e, f are non-negative and $a + b + c + e + f < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Theorem 3.2: Let (X, d) be a complete cone 2-metric space in a Banach algebra A and P be the underlying cone. Let $\{T_i^{m_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be family of self-maps on X satisfying $d(T_i^{m_i}x, T_j^{m_j}y, a)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq k_1d(x, y, a) + k_2d(x, T_i^{m_i}x, a) + k_3d(y, T_j^{m_j}y, a) \\ &+ k_4d(x, T_j^{m_j}y, a) + k_5d(y, T_i^{m_i}x, a) \end{aligned}$$

(3. 2)

for all $x, y, a \in X$ where $k_i \in P, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. If k_i commute and $\sum_{i=1}^5 r(k_i) < 1$. Then the family of maps $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Write $f_i = T_i^{m_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then (3. 2) becomes

$$d(f_i x, f_j y, a) \leq k_1d(x, y, a) + k_2d(x, f_i x, a) + k_3d(y, f_j y, a) + k_4d(x, f_j y, a) + k_5d(y, f_i x, a)$$

(3. 3)

Choose $x_0 \in X$ arbitrary and define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = f_n(x_{n-1})$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Now we show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) &= d(f_{n+1}x_n, f_n x_{n-1}, a) \\ &\leq k_1d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) + k_2d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + k_3d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + k_4d(x_n, x_n, a) + k_5d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, a) \\ &\leq k_1d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) + k_2d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + k_3d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) \\ &+ k_5[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \end{aligned}$$

$$i. e., (e - k_2 - k_5)d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) \leq (k_1 + k_3 + k_5)d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)$$

$$i. e., d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) \leq \alpha d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) \text{ where } \alpha = (e - k_2 - k_5)^{-1}(k_1 + k_3 + k_5) \in P.$$

(3. 4)

Because of symmetry of the cone 2-metric d , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) &= d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) = d(f_n x_{n-1}, f_{n+1} x_n, a) \\ &\leq k_1d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + k_2d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + k_3d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + k_4d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, a) + k_5d(x_n, x_n, a) \\ &\leq k_1d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + k_2d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + k_3d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) \\ &+ k_4[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a)] \end{aligned}$$

$$i. e., (e - k_3 - k_4)d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) \leq (k_1 + k_2 + k_4)d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)$$

$$\text{Or } d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) \leq \beta d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) \text{ where } \beta = (e - k_3 - k_4)^{-1}(k_1 + k_2 + k_4) \in P.$$

(3. 5)

Then we claim that, either $r(\alpha) < 1$ or $r(\beta) < 1$.

Suppose if $r(\alpha) > 1$ and $r(\beta) > 1$. Then we get,

$$1 < r(\alpha) \leq \frac{r(k_1) + r(k_3) + r(k_5)}{1 - r(k_2) + r(k_5)}$$

which implies $r(k_1) + r(k_2) + r(k_3) + 2r(k_5) > 1$ (3. 6)

$$1 < r(\alpha) \leq \frac{r(k_1) + r(k_3) + r(k_5)}{1 - r(k_2) + r(k_5)}$$

which implies $r(k_1) + r(k_2) + r(k_3) + 2r(k_4) > 1$ (3. 7)

Adding (3.6) and (3.7), we get $r(k_1) + r(k_2) + r(k_3) + r(k_4) + r(k_5) > 1$, a contradiction.

Thus, our claim is true.

$$\text{Take } k = \begin{cases} \alpha \text{ if } r(\alpha) < 1 \text{ or} \\ \beta \text{ if } r(\beta) < 1 \text{ or} \\ \alpha \text{ or } \beta \text{ if } r(\alpha) < 1 \text{ and } r(\beta) < 1 \end{cases}$$

Then clearly $k \in P$ and $r(k) < 1$. (3. 8)

Thus $d(x_{n+1}, x_n, a) \leq kd(x_n, x_{n-1}, a)$ for all $n \geq 1$ where $r(k) < 1$. (3. 9)

Suppose $l < n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_n, x_l) &\leq kd(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_l) \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\leq k^{n-l}d(x_{l+1}, x_l, x_l) = \theta \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $l < n$, we have $d(x_{n+1}, x_n, x_l) = \theta$. (3. 10)

For $n > m$, using (3. 10) in the below steps, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m, a) &\leq d(x_n, x_m, x_{n-1}) + d(x_n, x_{n-1}, a) + d(x_{n-1}, x_m, a) \\ &\leq k^{n-1}d(x_1, x_0, a) + d(x_{n-1}, x_m, x_{n-2}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, a) + d(x_{n-2}, x_m, a) \\ &\leq (k^{n-1} + k^{n-2})d(x_1, x_0, a) + d(x_{n-2}, x_m, a) \\ &\leq (k^{n-1} + k^{n-2} + \dots + k^{m+1})d(x_1, x_0, a) + d(x_{m+1}, x_m, a) \\ &\leq (k^{n-1} + k^{n-2} + \dots + k^{m+1} + k^m)d(x_1, x_0, a) \\ &= (e + k + \dots + k^{n-m+1})k^m d(x_1, x_0, a) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} k^i \right) k^m d(x_1, x_0, a) \\ &= (e - k)^{-1} k^m d(x_1, x_0, a) \end{aligned}$$
(3. 11)

Hence from Lemma 2. 5 and the fact that $\|(e - k)^{-1}k^m d(x_1, x_0, a)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have for any $c \in A$ with $\theta \ll c$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n > N$, we have

$$d(x_n, x_m, a) \leq (e - k)^{-1}k^m d(x_1, x_0, a) \ll c,$$

showing that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, there exists $x \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we show that this x is the unique common fixed point of the family of maps $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_n x, x, a) &\leq d(f_n x, x, x_{m+1}) + d(f_n x, x_{m+1}, a) + d(x_{m+1}, x, a) \\ &= d(x_{m+1}, x, a) + d(f_n x, f_{m+1} x_m, x) + d(f_n x, f_{m+1} x_m, a) \\ &\leq d(x_{m+1}, x, a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +[k_1d(x, x_m, x) + k_2d(x, f_nx, x) + k_3d(x_m, x_{m+1}, x) + k_4d(x, x_{m+1}, x) + k_5d(x_m, f_nx, x)] \\
 & +[k_1d(x, x_m, a) + k_2d(x, f_nx, a) + k_3d(x_m, x_{m+1}, a) + k_4d(x, x_{m+1}, a) + k_5d(x_m, f_nx, a)] \\
 & \leq d(x_{m+1}, x, a) + k_3d(x_m, x_{m+1}, x) + k_5d(x_m, f_nx, x) \\
 & +k_1d(x, x_m, a) + k_2d(f_nx, x, a) + k_3d(x_m, x_{m+1}, a) + k_4d(x, x_{m+1}, a) \\
 & +k_5[d(x_m, f_nx, x) + d(x_m, x, a) + d(f_nx, x, a)] \\
 & \text{i. e., } (e - k_2 - k_5)d(f_nx, x, a) \leq d(x_{m+1}, x, a) + k_3d(x_m, x_{m+1}, x) + k_1d(x, x_m, a) \\
 & +k_3d(x_m, x_{m+1}, a) + k_4d(x, x_{m+1}, a) + 2k_5d(x_m, f_nx, x) + k_5d(x_m, x, a)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3. 12}$$

Keeping n fixed and using the Proposition 2. 2(2), the R. H. S of the above inequality (3. 12) is a c -sequence in P , say $\{g_m\}$.

Therefore, for any $c \in A$ with $\theta \ll c$, we have $(e - k_2 - k_5)d(f_nx, x, a) \leq g_m \ll c$ for all $a \in X$.

Since $r(k_2 + k_5) < 1$, by Lemma 2. 2(2), we have $(e - k_2 - k_5)$ is invertible and hence $d(f_nx, x, a) \ll c$. This is true for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any c with $\theta \ll c$. Thus we have, $d(f_nx, x, a) = \theta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in X$.

Therefore, $f_nx = x$ for all $n \geq 1$ which implies x is common fixed point of $f_n, n \geq 1$.

$$\tag{3. 13}$$

Suppose y be any other common fixed point of $f_n, n \geq 1$.

i. e., $f_ny = y, n \geq 1$. Then using (3. 2)

$$d(x, y, a) = d(f_nx, f_ny, a) \leq k_1d(x, y, a) + k_2d(x, x, a) + k_3d(y, y, a) + k_4d(x, y, a) + k_5d(y, x, a)$$

i. e., $(e - k_1 - k_4 - k_5)d(x, y, a) \leq \theta$ which implies $d(x, y, a) = \theta$ for all $a \in X$.

Therefore $x = y$ is the unique common fixed point of the family of maps $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$.

Thus we have, $x = f_nx = T_n^{m_n}x$

$$\tag{3. 14}$$

$$\text{Also, } T_n(x) = T_n(T_n^{m_n+1}x) = T_n^{m_n}(T_nx) = f_n(T_nx)$$

i. e., $T_n(x) = f_n(T_nx)$ which implies $T_n(x)$ is also fixed point of f_n .

But x is the unique fixed point of f_n by (3. 14), which means $T_nx = x$.

Suppose z is any other fixed point of T_n i. e., $T_nz = z$

Then $T_n^{m_n}z = z$ and hence $f_nz = T_n^{m_n}z = z$

Since fixed point of f_n is unique, which is x we get $x = z$, proving the uniqueness.

The following list of corollaries can be obtained from our main theorem.

Corollary 3.1: Let (X, d) be a complete cone 2-metric space in a Banach algebra A and P be the underlying cone. Let $\{T_i^{m_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be family of self-maps on X satisfying

$$d(T_i^{m_i}x, T_j^{m_j}y, a) \leq k_1d(x, y, a) + k_2d(x, T_i^{m_i}x, a) + k_3d(y, T_j^{m_j}y, a)$$

$$\tag{3. 15}$$

for all $x, y, a \in X$ where $k_i \in P, i = 1, 2, 3$. If k_i commute and $\sum_{i=1}^3 r(k_i) < 1$. Then the family of maps $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ have unique common fixed point in X .

The above fixed point theorem was proved by Wang et. al in [16].

Corollary 3.2: Let (X, d) be a complete cone 2-metric space in a Banach algebra A and P be the underlying cone. Let $\{T_i^{m_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be family of self-maps on X satisfying

$$d(T_i^{m_i}x, T_j^{m_j}y, a) \leq k[d(x, T_i^{m_i}x, a) + d(y, T_j^{m_j}y, a)] \tag{3. 16}$$

for all $x, y, a \in X$ where $k \in P$ and $r(k) < \frac{1}{2}$. Then the family of maps $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ have unique common fixed point in X .

Corollary 3.3: Let (X, d) be a complete cone 2-metric space in a Banach algebra A and P be the underlying cone. Let $\{T_i^{m_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be family of self-maps on X satisfying

$$d(T_i^{m_i}x, T_j^{m_j}y, a) \leq k[d(x, T_i^{m_i}y, a) + d(y, T_j^{m_j}x, a)] \tag{3. 17}$$

for all $x, y, a \in X$ where $k \in P$ and $r(k) < \frac{1}{2}$. Then the family of maps $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ have unique common fixed point in X .

Corollary 3.4: Let (X, d) be a complete cone 2-metric space in a Banach algebra A and P be the underlying cone. Let $\{T_i^{m_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be family of self-maps on X satisfying

$$d(T_i^{m_i}x, T_j^{m_j}y, a) \leq kd(x, y, a) \tag{3. 18}$$

for all $x, y, a \in X$ where $k \in P$ and $r(k) < 1$. Then the family of maps $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ have unique common fixed point in X .

EXAMPLE 3.1: Let $A = R^2$ for each $(x_1, x_2) \in A, \|(x_1, x_2)\| = |x_1| + |x_2|$. The multiplication is defined by $xy = (x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) = (x_1y_1, x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)$. Then A is a Banach algebra with unit $e = (1, 0)$. Let $P = \{(x_1, x_2) \in R^2 | x_1, x_2 \geq 0\}$ then P is a cone in A .

Let $X = \{(x, 0) \in R^2 | 0 \leq x \leq 1\} \cup \{(0, x) \in R^2 | 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$.

The metric is defined by $d(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = d(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in X, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ are such that $\|\beta_1 - \beta_2\| = \min \{\|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\|, \|\alpha_2 - \alpha_3\|, \|\alpha_3 - \alpha_1\|\}$ and

$$d_1((x, 0), (y, 0)) = \left(\frac{5}{4}|x - y|, |x - y|\right)$$

$$d_1((0, x), (0, y)) = \left(|x - y|, \frac{3}{4}|x - y|\right)$$

$$d_1((x, 0), (0, y)) = d_1((0, y), (x, 0)) = \left(\frac{5}{4}x + y, x + \frac{3}{4}y\right)$$

Then (X, d) is a complete cone 2-metric space over the Banach Algebra A .

Now define $T_i: X \rightarrow X (i \geq 1)$ by

$$T_i((x, 0)) = \left(0, (3)^{\frac{i-2}{2i-1}}(2)^{\frac{-i+1}{2i-1}}x\right)$$

$$\text{And } T_i((0, x)) = \left((3)^{\frac{-i+1}{2i-1}}(2)^{\frac{i-2}{2i-1}}x, 0\right)$$

Then we have $T_i^{2i-1}(x, 0) = \left(0, \frac{1}{12}x\right)$ and $T_i^{2i-1}(0, x) = \left(\frac{1}{18}x, 0\right)$

Also T_i satisfies the contractive condition of the main Theorem 3. 2 with $m_i = 2i - 1, k_1 = \left(\frac{1}{4}, 0\right), k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = k_5 = \left(\frac{1}{6}, 0\right)$. Also $r(k_1) = \frac{1}{4}$ & $r(k_2) = r(k_3) =$

$r(k_4) = r(k_5) = \frac{1}{6}$. Hence by our main Theorem 3. 2, T_i has a unique fixed point which is $(0, 0)$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Acknowledgement:

The Research was supported by Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR).

References

1. Gahler, S., 1963, "2-metrische Raume und ihre topologische strukuren", Math. Nachr., 26, pp. 115-148.
2. Gahler, S., 1965, "Uberdie Uniformisierbarkeit 2-metricsche Raume", Math. Nachr., 28, pp. 235-244.
3. Rhoades, B. E., 1979, "Contraction type mappings on a 2-metric space", Math. Nachr., 91, pp. 151-155.
4. Hardy, G. E., and Rogers, T. D., 1973, "A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich", Canad. Math. Bull, 16(2), pp. 201-206.
5. Edelstein, M., 1962, "On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings", J. London Math. Soc., 37, pp. 74-79.
6. Rhoades, B. E., 1977, "A comparision of various definitions of contractive mappings", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 226, pp. 257-290.
7. Long-Guang, H., and Xian, Z., 2007, "Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 332, pp. 1468-1476.
8. Rezapour, Sh., and Hamlbarani, R., 2008 "Some notes on Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 345, pp. 719-724.
9. Abbas, M., and Jungck, G., 2008, "Common fixed point results for non-commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341, pp. 416-420.
10. Asadi, M., and Soleimani, H., 2012, "Examples in cone metric spaces: A Survey", Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research., 11(12), pp. 1636-1640.
11. Rudin, W., 1991, "Functinal Analysis", 2nd edition., McGraw Hill., New York.
12. Rodrigues, H. M., 2008, "A note on the relationship between spectral radius and norms of bounded linear operators", Cadernos de matematica., 09 pp. 61-65.
13. Liu, H., and Shaoyuan, Xu., 2013, "Cone metric spaces with Banach algebras and fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings", Fixed point theory and applications., 2013:320.
14. Shaoyuan, Xu., and Radenovic, S., 2014 "Fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings on cone metric spaces over Banach algebras without assumption of normality", Fixed point theory and applications., 2014:102.
15. Singh, B., Jain, S., and Bhagat, P., 2012, "Cone 2-metric space and Fixed point theorem of contractive mappings", commentationes mathematicae., 52(2), pp. 143-151.

16. Wang, T., Yin, J., and Yan, Q., 2015, "Fixed point theorems on cone 2-metric spaces over Banach algebras and an application", *Fixed point theory and applications.*, 2015:204.
17. Tiwari, S. K., 2015, "Cone 2-metric spaces and Fixed point theorems for pair of contractive mappings", *J. Prog. Research. Math.*, 5(3), pp. 543-552.
18. Tiwari, S. K., 2015, "cone 2-metric spaces and an extension of fixed point theorems for contractive mappings", *IOSR Journal of mathematics*, 11(4), pp. 01-08.

