



In 1985 Singh [8] proved some comparative growth properties of  $\log T(r, f_og)$  and  $T(r, f)$  also he raised the problem of investigating the comparative growth of  $\log T(r, f_og)$  and  $T(r, g)$ .

Song and Yang [10] established that  $f$  and  $g$  are any two transcendental entire functions of positive lower order and finite order then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f_og)}{\log \log M(r, f)} = \infty = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f_og)}{\log \log M(r, g)}. \quad (1.1)$$

In 1991 Singh and Baloria [9] asked whether for sufficiently large  $R = R(r)$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f_og)}{\log \log M(R, f)} < \infty \text{ and } \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f_og)}{\log \log M(R, g)} < \infty.$$

Singh and Baloria [9] proved under the assumptions of (1.1) that for each positive constant  $A$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f_og)}{\log \log M(r^A, f)} = \infty = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f_og)}{\log \log M(r^A, g)}.$$

They also proved that if  $R = \exp(r^{\rho_f})$ , where  $\rho_f (< \infty)$  is the order of  $f$ ,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f_og)}{\log \log M(R, f)} = 0 \text{ for } \rho_f > \rho_g.$$

Lahiri and Sharma [5] worked on the question of Singh and Baloria, and proved the following theorems.

**Theorem A.** Let  $f, g$  be two entire functions such that  $0 < \lambda_f \leq \rho_f < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g \leq \rho_g < \infty$ . Then for any positive number  $A$  and every real number  $\alpha$

$$(i) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f_og)}{\{\log \log M(r^A, f)\}^{1+\alpha}} = \infty,$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f_og)}{\{\log \log M(r^A, g)\}^{1+\alpha}} = \infty.$$

**Theorem B.** Let  $f, g$  be two entire functions of finite orders and  $\lambda_f > 0$ . Then for  $p > 0$  and each  $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log \log M(r, f_og)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log \log M(\exp(r^p), f)} = 0 \text{ if } p > (1 + \alpha)\rho_g.$$

**Theorem C.** Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire such that  $0 < \lambda_f \leq \rho_f < \infty$  and  $\rho_g < \infty$ . Then for  $p > 0$  and each  $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log T(r, f_og) + \log \log M(r, g)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log T(\exp(r^p), f)} = 0 \text{ if } p > (1 + \alpha)\rho_g.$$

**Theorem D.** Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire such that  $0 < \rho_g < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_f$ . Then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f \circ g)}{\log T(\exp(r^\mu), g)} = \infty \text{ where } 0 < \mu < \rho_g.$$

In 1997 Lahiri and Banerjee [4] form the iterations of  $f(z)$  with respect to  $g(z)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= f(z) \\ f_2(z) &= f(g(z)) = f(g_1(z)) \\ f_3(z) &= f(g(f(z))) = f(g_2(z)) = f(g(f_1(z))) \\ &\dots \qquad \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \\ &\dots \qquad \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \\ f_n(z) &= f(g(f \dots (f(z) \text{ or } g(z)) \dots)), \\ &\text{according as } n \text{ is odd or even,} \\ &= f(g_{n-1}(z)) = f(g(f_{n-2}(z))) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z) &= g(z) \\ g_2(z) &= g(f(z)) = g(f_1(z)) \\ &\dots \qquad \qquad \dots \\ &\dots \qquad \qquad \dots \\ g_n(z) &= g(f_{n-1}(z)) = g(f(g_{n-2}(z))). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly all  $f_n(z)$  and  $g_n(z)$  are entire functions.

The following definitions are well known.

**Definition 1.1.** The order  $\rho_f$  and lower order  $\lambda_f$  of a meromorphic function  $f$  is defined as

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

If  $f$  is an entire function then

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

**Notation 1.2.** [7]  $\log^{[0]}x = x$ ,  $\exp^{[0]}x = x$  and for positive integer  $m$ ,  $\log^{[m]}x = \log(\log^{[m-1]}x)$ ,  $\exp^{[m]}x = \exp(\exp^{[m-1]}x)$ .

In this paper, we investigate the comparative growth of iterated entire functions which generalize some earlier results. we do not explain the standard notations and definitions of the theory of entire and meromorphic functions as those are available in [3], [11] and [12].

## 2. Lemmas

The following lemmas will be needed in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1.** [3] Let  $f(z)$  be an entire function. For  $0 \leq r < R < \infty$ , we have

$$T(r, f) \leq \log^+ M(r, f) \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} T(R, f).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [2] If  $f$  and  $g$  are any two entire functions, for all sufficiently large values of  $r$ ,

$$M\left(\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g\right) - |g(0)|, f\right) \leq M(r, f \circ g) \leq M(M(r, g), f)$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [1] If  $f$  is meromorphic and  $g$  is entire, for all sufficiently large values of  $r$

$$T(r, f \circ g) \leq \{1 + o(1)\} \frac{T(r, g)}{\log M(r, g)} T(M(r, g), f)$$

**Lemma 2.4.** [6] Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two entire functions. Then we have

$$T(r, f(g)) \geq \frac{1}{3} \log M\left(\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{4}, g\right) + O(1), f\right)$$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two non constant entire functions such that  $0 < \lambda_f \leq \rho_f < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g \leq \rho_g < \infty$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda_f, \lambda_g\}$ )

$$\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n) \leq \begin{cases} (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(r, g) + O(1) & \text{when } n \text{ is even} \\ (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log M(r, f) + O(1) & \text{when } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n) \geq \begin{cases} (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{2^{n-1}}, g\right) + O(1) & \text{when } n \text{ is even} \\ (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{2^{n-1}}, f\right) + O(1) & \text{when } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

for all large values of  $r$ .

*Proof.* For  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  we get from Lemma 2.2 for all large values of  $r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(r, f_n) &= M(r, f(g_{n-1})) \\
 &\leq M(M(r, g_{n-1}), f). \\
 \therefore \log M(r, f_n) &\leq \log M(M(r, g_{n-1}), f) \\
 &\leq [M(r, g_{n-1})]^{\rho_f + \varepsilon}. \\
 \therefore \log^{[2]} M(r, f_n) &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(r, g_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(M(r, f_{n-2}), g) \\
 &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) [M(r, f_{n-2})]^{\rho_g + \varepsilon}. \\
 \therefore \log^{[3]} M(r, f_n) &\leq \log(\rho_f + \varepsilon) + (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log M(r, f(g_{n-3})) \\
 &\leq O(1) + (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log M(M(r, g_{n-3}), f) \\
 &\leq (\rho_g + \varepsilon) [M(r, g_{n-3})]^{\rho_f + \varepsilon} + O(1). \\
 \therefore \log^{[4]} M(r, f_n) &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log[M(r, g_{n-3})] + O(1) \\
 \dots &\dots \dots \\
 \dots &\dots \dots \\
 \therefore \log^{[n]} M(r, f_n) &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(r, g) + O(1) \text{ when } n \text{ is even.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n) \leq (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log M(r, f) + O(1) \text{ when } n \text{ is odd.}$$

Again, let  $\lambda_f$  and  $\lambda_g$  be the lower order of  $f$  and  $g$  respectively, then from Lemma 2.2 we have for all large values of  $r$  and any  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda_f, \lambda_g\}$ ),

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(r, f_n) &= M(r, f(g_{n-1})) \\
 &\geq M\left(\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g_{n-1}\right) - |g_{n-1}(0)|, f\right) \\
 &\geq M\left(\frac{1}{16}M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g_{n-1}\right), f\right). \\
 \therefore \log M(r, f_n) &\geq \log M\left(\frac{1}{16}M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g_{n-1}\right), f\right) \\
 &\geq \left[\frac{1}{16}M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g_{n-1}\right)\right]^{\lambda_f - \varepsilon}. \\
 \therefore \log^{[2]} M(r, f_n) &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g_{n-1}\right) + (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log \frac{1}{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g(f_{n-2})\right) + O(1) \\
 &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, f_{n-2}\right) - |f_{n-2}(0)|, g\right) + O(1) \\
 &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \left[\frac{1}{16}M\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, f_{n-2}\right)\right]^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} + O(1). \\
 \therefore \log^{[3]} M(r, f_n) &\geq \log(\lambda_f - \varepsilon) + (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \log \frac{1}{16}M\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, f_{n-2}\right) + O(1) \\
 &\geq (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, f_{n-2}\right) + O(1) \\
 \dots &\dots \dots \dots \\
 \dots &\dots \dots \dots \\
 \therefore \log^{[n]} M(r, f_n) &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{2^{n-1}}, g\right) + O(1) \text{ when } n \text{ is even.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n) \geq (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{2^{n-1}}, f\right) + O(1) \text{ when } n \text{ is odd.}$$

This proves the lemma. ■

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two non constant entire functions such that  $0 < \rho_f < \infty$  and  $0 < \rho_g < \infty$ . Then for all sufficiently large  $r$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \leq \begin{cases} (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(r, g) + O(1) & \text{when } n \text{ is even} \\ (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log M(r, f) + O(1) & \text{when } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The lemma follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.5.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two non constant entire functions such that  $0 < \lambda_f < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g < \infty$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda_f, \lambda_g\}$ ),

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \geq \begin{cases} (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right) + O(1) & \text{when } n \text{ is even} \\ (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f\right) + O(1) & \text{when } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for all sufficiently large values of  $r$ .

*Proof.* Since for any  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda_f, \lambda_g\}$ ) we get from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4

for all large values of  $r$

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f_n) &= T(r, f(g_{n-1})) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \log M \left( \frac{1}{8} M \left( \frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1} \right) + O(1), f \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{8} M \left( \frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1} \right) + O(1) \right]^{\lambda_f - \varepsilon} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{9} M \left( \frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1} \right) \right]^{\lambda_f - \varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{that is, } \log T(r, f_n) &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M \left( \frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1} \right) + O(1) \\ &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) T \left( \frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1} \right) + O(1) \\ &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \frac{1}{3} \log M \left( \frac{1}{8} M \left( \frac{r}{4^2}, f_{n-2} \right) + O(1), g \right) + O(1) \\ &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{8} M \left( \frac{r}{4^2}, f_{n-2} \right) + O(1) \right]^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} + O(1) \\ &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{9} M \left( \frac{r}{4^2}, f_{n-2} \right) \right]^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \log^{[2]} T(r, f_n) \geq (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \log M \left( \frac{r}{4^2}, f_{n-2} \right) + O(1)$$

.... ..

.... ..

$$\text{So, } \log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M \left( \frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g \right) + O(1) \text{ when } n \text{ is even.}$$

Similarly

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \geq (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \log M \left( \frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f \right) + O(1) \text{ when } n \text{ is odd.}$$

This proves the lemma. ■

### 3. Theorems

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non constant entire functions such that  $0 < \lambda_f \leq \rho_f < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g \leq \rho_g < \infty$ . Then for any positive number  $A$  and every real number  $\alpha$

$$(i) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n)}{\{\log \log M(r^A, f)\}^{1+\alpha}} = \infty,$$

and

$$(ii) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n)}{\{\log \log M(r^A, g)\}^{1+\alpha}} = \infty.$$

*Proof.* If  $\alpha \leq -1$  then the theorem is trivial. So we suppose that  $\alpha > -1$  and  $n$  is even. Then from Lemma 2.5 we get for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  and any  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda_f, \lambda_g\}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[n]} M(r, f_n) &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{2^{n-1}}, g\right) + O(1) \\ &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{r}{2^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Again from Definition 1.1 it follows that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all large values of  $r$ ,

$$\{\log \log M(r^A, f)\}^{1+\alpha} < (\rho_f + \varepsilon)^{1+\alpha} A^{1+\alpha} (\log r)^{1+\alpha}. \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.2) and (3.3) we have for all large values of  $r$  and any  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda_f, \lambda_g\}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n)}{\{\log \log M(r^A, f)\}^{1+\alpha}} &\geq \frac{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{r}{2^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} + O(1)}{(\rho_f + \varepsilon)^{1+\alpha} A^{1+\alpha} (\log r)^{1+\alpha}} \\ &\geq \frac{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} r^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon}}{(\rho_f + \varepsilon)^{1+\alpha} A^{1+\alpha} (\log r)^{1+\alpha}} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,

$$\therefore \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n)}{\{\log \log M(r^A, f)\}^{1+\alpha}} = \infty. \quad (3.4)$$

Similarly for odd  $n$  we get

$$\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n) \geq (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{r}{2^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_f - \varepsilon} + O(1). \quad (3.5)$$

So from (3.3) and (3.5) we have equation (3.4) for odd  $n$ .

Therefore for all  $n$  (even or odd) statement (i) follows.

Second part of this theorem follows similarly by using the following inequality instead of (3.3)

$$\{\log \log M(r^A, g)\}^{1+\alpha} < (\rho_g + \varepsilon)^{1+\alpha} A^{1+\alpha} (\log r)^{1+\alpha}$$

for all large values of  $r$  and arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

This proves the theorem. ■

**Note.** If we consider  $n = 2$  in Theorem 3.1 then we get Theorem A.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two entire functions of finite orders and  $\lambda_f, \lambda_g > 0$ . Then for  $p > 0$  and each  $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$

- (i)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log \log M(\exp(r^p), f)} = 0$  if  $p > (1 + \alpha)\rho_g$  and  $n$  is even,
- (ii)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log \log M(\exp(r^p), f)} = 0$  if  $p > (1 + \alpha)\rho_f$  and  $n$  is odd.



*Proof.* If  $\alpha \leq -1$  then the theorem is trivial. So we suppose that  $\alpha > -1$  and  $n$  is even. Then from Lemma 2.5 we get for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[n]} M(r, f_n) &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(r, g) + O(1) \\ &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon)r^{\rho_g + \varepsilon} + O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Again from Definition 1.1 it follows that for any  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_f$  and for all large values of  $r$ ,

$$\log \log M(\exp(r^p), f) > (\lambda_f - \varepsilon)r^p. \tag{3.7}$$

So from (3.6) and (3.7) we have for all large values of  $r$  and any  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_f$ )

$$\frac{\{\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log \log M(\exp(r^p), f)} \leq \frac{(\rho_f + \varepsilon)^{1+\alpha} r^{(1+\alpha)(\rho_g + \varepsilon)}}{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon)r^p} + o(1).$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we can choose  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda_f, \frac{p}{1+\alpha} - \rho_g\}$ ,

$$\therefore \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log \log M(\exp(r^p), f)} = 0.$$

Similarly when  $n$  is odd then we get the second part of this theorem. This proves the theorem. ■

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two entire functions of finite orders and  $\lambda_f, \lambda_g > 0$ . Then for  $p > 0$  and each  $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$

- (i)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log \log M(\exp(r^p), g)} = 0$  if  $p > (1 + \alpha)\rho_g$  and  $n$  is even,
- (ii)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log^{[n]} M(r, f_n)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log \log M(\exp(r^p), g)} = 0$  if  $p > (1 + \alpha)\rho_f$  and  $n$  is odd.

The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of the Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two entire functions such that  $0 < \lambda_f \leq \rho_f < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g \leq \rho_g < \infty$ . Then for  $p > 0$  and each  $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$

- (i)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) + \log \log M(r, g)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log T(\exp(r^p), f)} = 0$  if  $p > (1 + \alpha)\rho_g$  and  $n$  is even,
- (ii)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) + \log \log M(r, f)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log T(\exp(r^p), f)} = 0$  if  $p > (1 + \alpha)\rho_f$  and  $n$  is odd.

*Proof.* If  $\alpha \leq -1$  then the theorem is trivial. So we suppose that  $\alpha > -1$  and  $n$  is even. Now from Lemma 2.6 we get for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[n-2]} T(r, f_n) &\leq (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log M(r, f(g)) + O(1) \\ &\leq (\rho_g + \varepsilon)3T(2r, f(g)) + O(1) \\ &\leq [3(\rho_g + \varepsilon) + o(1)]T(2r, f(g)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

From Lemma 2.3 we have for all large values of  $r$

$$\begin{aligned} T(2r, f \circ g) &\leq \{1 + o(1)\} \frac{T(2r, g)}{\log M(2r, g)} T(M(2r, g), f) \\ &\leq \{1 + o(1)\} \frac{T(2r, g)}{\log M(r, g)} T(M(2r, g), f), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } \log T(2r, f \circ g) + \log \log M(r, g) &\leq \log\{1 + o(1)\} + \log T(2r, g) \\ &\quad + \log T(M(2r, g), f) \\ &\leq (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log(2r) + (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(2r, g) + o(1) \\ &\leq (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log(2r) + (\rho_f + \varepsilon)(2r)^{\rho_g + \varepsilon} + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.8) and (3.9) we have for all large values of  $r$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) + \log \log M(r, g) &\leq (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log(2r) + (\rho_f + \varepsilon)(2r)^{\rho_g + \varepsilon} + O(1) \\ &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon + o(1))r^{\rho_g + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Again from Definition 1.1 it follows that for any  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_f$  and for all large values of  $r$ ,

$$\log \log M(\exp(r^p), f) > (\lambda_f - \varepsilon)r^p. \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.10) and (3.11) we have for all large values of  $r$  and any  $\varepsilon (0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_f)$ ,

$$\frac{\{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) + \log \log M(r, g)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log T(\exp(r^p), f)} \leq \frac{(\rho_f + \varepsilon + o(1))^{1+\alpha} r^{(1+\alpha)(\rho_g + \varepsilon)}}{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon)r^p}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we can choose  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda_f, \frac{p}{1+\alpha} - \rho_g\}$ ,

$$\therefore \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) + \log \log M(r, g)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log T(\exp(r^p), f)} = 0.$$

Similarly for odd  $n$  we get the second part of this theorem. This proves the theorem. ■

**Note.** If we consider  $n = 2$  in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 then we get Theorem B and Theorem C respectively.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two entire functions such that  $0 < \lambda_f \leq \rho_f < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g \leq \rho_g < \infty$ . Then for  $p > 0$  and each  $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$

- (i)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) + \log \log M(r, g)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log T(\exp(r^p), g)} = 0$  if  $p > (1 + \alpha)\rho_g$  and  $n$  is even,
- (ii)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) + \log \log M(r, f)\}^{1+\alpha}}{\log T(\exp(r^p), g)} = 0$  if  $p > (1 + \alpha)\rho_f$  and  $n$  is odd.

The proof of the theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two entire functions such that  $0 < \lambda_f \leq \rho_f < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g \leq \rho_g < \infty$ . Then

- (i)  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{\log T(\exp(r^p), g)} = \infty$ , when  $0 < p < \lambda_g$  and  $n$  is even,
- (ii)  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{\log T(\exp(r^p), g)} = \infty$ , when  $0 < p < \lambda_f$  and  $n$  is odd.

*Proof.* First we consider  $n$  is even then from Lemma 2.7 we get for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  and any  $\varepsilon (0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda_f, \lambda_g\})$

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right) + O(1) \\ &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} + O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Again from Definition 1.1 it follows that for all large values of  $r$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\log T(\exp(r^p), g) < (\rho_g + \varepsilon)r^p. \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.12) and (3.13) we have for all large values of  $r$  and any  $\varepsilon (0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_f)$ ,

$$\frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{\log T(\exp(r^p), g)} \geq \frac{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} + O(1)}{(\rho_g + \varepsilon)r^p}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,

$$\therefore \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{\log T(\exp(r^p), g)} = \infty.$$

Similarly when  $n$  is odd then we get statement (ii).

This proves the theorem. ■

**Remark 3.7.** The condition non zero lower order is essential for Theorem 3.6, which are illustrated by the following example.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $f(z) = z, g(z) = \exp z$ . Then  $\lambda_f = \rho_f = 0$  and  $0 < \lambda_g = \rho_g = 1 < \infty$ . Now when  $n$  is even then

$$f_n = \exp^{[\frac{n}{2}]} z.$$

Therefore,

$$T(r, f_n) \leq \log M(r, f_n) = \exp^{[\frac{n}{2}-1]} r.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) &\leq \log^{[n-1]}(\exp^{[\frac{n}{2}-1]} r) \\ &= \log^{[n-1-\frac{n}{2}+1]} r \\ &= \log^{[\frac{n}{2}]} r.\end{aligned}$$

Also when  $n$  is odd

$$f_n = \exp^{[\frac{n-1}{2}]} z.$$

Therefore,

$$T(r, f_n) \leq \log M(r, f_n) = \exp^{[\frac{n-1}{2}-1]} r.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) &\leq \log^{[n-1]}(\exp^{[\frac{n-1}{2}-1]} r) \\ &= \log^{[n-1-\frac{n-1}{2}+1]} r \\ &= \log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}]} r\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\log T(\exp(r^p), g) = r^p - \log \pi.$$

Therefore when  $n$  is even

$$\frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{\log T(\exp(r^p), g)} \leq \frac{\log^{[\frac{n}{2}]} r}{r^p - \log \pi} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

and when  $n$  is odd

$$\frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{\log T(\exp(r^p), g)} \leq \frac{\log^{[\frac{n+1}{2}]} r}{r^p - \log \pi} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Theorem 3.9.** If  $f, g$  and  $h$  are three entire functions with non zero lower order and finite order also  $\rho_h < \lambda_g$  then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f'_n)} = \infty$$

for  $n$  is even and  $f'_n(z) = f(h(f(\dots(f(h(z))\dots)))$ .

*Proof.* When  $n$  is even then from Lemma 2.6 we have for  $r > r_0$ ,

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f'_n) \leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) r^{\rho_h + \varepsilon}. \quad (3.14)$$

Hence from (3.12) and (3.14) we have for sufficiently large values of  $r$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda_f, \lambda_h\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f'_n)} &\geq \frac{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} + O(1)}{(\rho_f + \varepsilon)r^{\rho_h + \varepsilon} + O(1)} \\ &= \frac{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon)r^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} + O(1)}{(4^{n-1})^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} (\rho_f + \varepsilon)r^{\rho_h + \varepsilon} + O(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\rho_h < \lambda_g$ , we can choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\rho_h + \varepsilon < \lambda_g - \varepsilon$ ,

$$\therefore \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f'_n)} = \infty.$$

This proves the theorem. ■

### Acknowledgement

The First Author would like to thank the UGC (ERO), India for financial support vide UGC MRP F No. PSW- 040/15-16 (ERO) dated. 25th January, 2017.

### References

- [1] W. Bergweiler, On the Nevanlinna Characteristic of a composite function, *Complex Variables*, **10** (1988) 225–236.
- [2] J. Clunie, The composition of entire and meromorphic functions, Mathematical essays dedicated to A. J. Macintyre, *Ohio Univ. Press*, (1970), 75–92.
- [3] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic Functions, Oxford University Press, 1964.
- [4] B. K. Lahiri and D. Banerjee, Relative fix points of entire functions. *J. Indian Acad. Math.*, **19(1)** (1997), 87–97.
- [5] I. Lahiri and D. K. Sharma, Growth of composite entire and meromorphic functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **26(5)** (1995), 451–458.
- [6] K. Niino and C. C. Yang, Some growth relationships on factors of two composite entire functions, factorization theory of meromorphic functions and related topics, Marcel Dekker Inc. (New York and Basel). (1982) 95–99.
- [7] D. Sato, On the rate of growth of entire functions of fast growth. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **69** (1963), 411–414.
- [8] A. P. Singh, Growth of composite entire functions, *Kodai Math. J.*, **8** (1985), 99–102.
- [9] A. P. Singh and M. S. Baloria, On maximum modulus and maximum term of composition of entire functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **22(12)** (1991), 1019–1026.

- [10] G. D. Song and C. C. Yang, Further growth properties of composition entire meromorphic functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **15(1)** (1984), 67–82.
- [11] G. Valiron, Lectures on the general theory of Integral functions, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1949.
- [12] C. C. Yang and H. X. Yi, Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions, Kluwer Academic Publishers and Science Press, Beijing, 2003.