

ON SUPER (a, d)-ANTI-EDGEMAGIC OF $C_m \circ \overline{K_n}$, $C_n \circ K_1$, (n,2) – Kite AND $K_2 \cup C_n$

Mallikarjun Ghaleppa*¹ and Danappa G Akka²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Sri Krishna Institute of Technology,
Bengaluru - 560 090, Karnataka, India.

*Correspondence author's

² Department of Mathematics, Alliance University, Alliance College of Engineering
and Design, Bengaluru - 562106, Karnataka, India.

Abstract

An edge-magic total labeling on a graph G with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$ is one-to-one function λ from $V(G) \cup E(G)$ onto the set of integers $1, 2, 3, \dots, |V(G)| \cup |E(G)|$ with the property that for every edge (x, y) , $\lambda(x) + \lambda(y) + \lambda(xy)$ is called (a, d)-edge antimagic total labeling of G if the edge weights $w(xy) = \lambda(x) + \lambda(y) + \lambda(xy)$ form an arithmetic progress with initial term a and common difference d .

An (a, d)-edge antimagic total labeling is called super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total if $\lambda(V(G)) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, |V(G)|\}$.

In this paper, we find Super (a, d)-edge antimagic property of $C_m \circ \overline{K_n}$, $C_n \circ K_1$, (n,2) – Kite and $K_2 \cup C_n$

if n is even.

Keywords: Vertex Labeling, Edge Labeling, Edge-Antimagic, Edge-Weight.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05C78; Secondary 05C76.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges for other definitions see [7]. In [4] Kotzig and Rosa and Wallies et al [6] proved the edge-antimagicness of cycles C_n and some variants of C_n . Also Enomoto et al [2] established that a cycle C_n is super edge magic if and only if n is odd. Further M Baca et al [1] obtained that a cycle C_n is super (a, d) -edgeantimagic total labeling. An $(n, 2)$ -Kite is acycle of length $n = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}x\}$ with a 2-edge path $x - y - z$ (the tail) attached to one vertex say x . In [6], Wallis et al proved that $(n, 1)$ -Kite is edge magic if and only if n is even.

The edge-weight of an edge xy under a labeling is the sum of labels of edge and the vertices x, y incident with xy . By an (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling is defined as a one-to-one mapping from $V(G) \cup E(G)$ into the set $\{1, 2, \dots, |V(G)| + |E(G)|\}$, so that the set of edge-weights of all edges in G is equal to $\{a, a + d, \dots, a + (|E(G) - 1|d)\}$, where integers $a > 0$ and $d \geq 0$. An (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling f is called super (a, d) -edge antimagic total if $f(V(G)) = \{1, 2, \dots, |V(G)|\}$. A graph G is called super (a, d) -edge antimagic total if there exists a super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total of G . Simanjuntak et al [8] introduced (a, d) -edge antimagic total labeling and super (a, d) -edge antimagic total labeling and give constructions of (a, d) -edge antimagic total labelings for cycles and paths.

In this paper we study super (a, d) -edge-antimagic properties of certain classes of graphs including corona

$C_m \circ \overline{K_n}$, $C_n \circ K_1$, $(n, 2)$ -Kite and $C_n \cup K_2$.

2. MAGIC CORONATION OF N-CROWN $C_m \circ \overline{K_n}$

n -crown $C_m \circ \overline{K_n}$, $m \geq 3, n \geq 1$ a cycle C_m with n pendant edges attached at each vertex. It has vertex set. $V(C_m \circ \overline{K_n}) = \{u_i / 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{u_{ij} / 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ and edge set. $E(G) = \{u_1 u_m\} \cup \{u_i u_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq m - 1\} \cup \{u_1 u_{ij} / 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$.

Thus $|V(C_m \circ \overline{K_n})| = m(n + 1)$, $|E(C_m \circ \overline{K_n})| = m(n + 1)$

Theorem 1. If n -crown $G = C_m \circ \overline{K_n}$ is a super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling then common difference $d \leq 2$.

Proof. Suppose G has a super (a, d)-edge antimagic total labeling

$$f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2m(n+1)\}$$

Thus

$\{w(uv): uv \in E(G)\} = \{a, a + d, \dots, a + (m(n+1) - 1)d\}$ is the set of edge weights. The sum of all the edge-weights is

$$(1) \quad \sum_{uv \in E(G)} w(uv) = \frac{m(n+1)}{2} (2a + (m(n+1) - 1)d)$$

In the edge weights of G, each edge label used once and the label of vertices m used $n+2$ times each and label of vertices mn used once each. The sum of all vertex labels and edge labels is.

$$(2) \quad (n+2) \sum_{i=1}^m f(u_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{mn} f(u_i) + \sum_{uv \in E(G)} f(uv) = \frac{m(n+1)}{2} [(m+1) + 4m(n+1) + 2]$$

Combining (1) and (2) we get

$$(3) \quad 2a + [m(n+1) - 1]d = 4mn + 5m + 3$$

Since the minimum possible edge weight under the labeling f is at least

$$1 + 2 + m(n+1) + 1 = m(n+1) + 4, a \geq m(n+1) + 4, \text{ then from (3),}$$

$$(4) \quad d \leq 2 + \frac{m-3}{mn+m-1}$$

$$\text{if } n \geq 1 \text{ and } m \geq 3 \text{ then } mn + m - 1 = m(n+1) - 1 > 0 \text{ and } \frac{m-3}{m(n+1)-1} \leq 0$$

Thus $d \leq 2$,

$$\text{If } n=1 \text{ and } m=3 \text{ the inequality (4) becomes } d \leq 2 + \frac{m-3}{2m-1} = 2$$

$$\text{From (3) we get } a = \frac{(4mn+5m+3) - (mn+m-1)d}{2}$$

$$\text{If } d = 0 \text{ then } a = \frac{4mn+5m+3}{2}$$

$$\text{If } d = 1 \text{ then } a = \frac{3mn+4m+4}{2}$$

If $d = 2$ then $a = \frac{2mn+3m+5}{2}$

In (3) R M Figueroa et al obtained super edge magic (ie super (a,0)-edge antimagic) of $C_m \overline{ok_n}$,

In the following Theorems we proved Super (a,1) edge antimagicness of $C_m \overline{ok_n}$ and Super,

(a,2) edge antimagic of $C_m \overline{ok_n}$.

Theorem 2. Let m (even) ≥ 4 and $n \geq 1$ be two integers. Then n -crown $G \approx C_m \overline{ok_n}$ is super (a,1)- edge antimagic total with magic constant $\frac{3mn+4(m+1)}{2}$.

Proof. Let $G \approx C_m \overline{ok_n}$ be the n -crown with

$$V(G) = \{u_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{v_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq m; 1 \leq j \leq n\} \text{ and}$$

$$E(G) = \{u_i u_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq m - 1\} \cup \{u_1 u_m\} \cup \{u_1 v_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq m; 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

For even $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 1$ integers define the vertex labeling and edge labeling

$$f(u_i) = i \quad 1 \leq i \leq m$$

$$f(v_{ij}) = (m + 1)j + (i - 1) - (j - 1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m; 1 \leq j \leq n$$

$$f(u_i u_{i+1}) = \frac{3mn}{2} + 2m - i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m - 1; 1 \leq j \leq n$$

$$f(u_n u_1) = \frac{3mn}{2} + 2m$$

$$f(u_i v_{ij}) = 2m(n + 1) + 1 - i - \frac{m}{2}(j - 1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2}; 1 \leq j \leq n$$

$$f(u_i v_{ij}) = \frac{3m}{2}(n + 1) + 1 - i - \frac{m}{2}(j - 1), \quad \frac{m}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq m; 1 \leq j \leq n$$

we can see that the resulting labeling is total super (a,1)-edge antimagic and the set of edge-weights consists of the consecutive integers $\{m(n+1) + 1, m(n+1) + 2, \dots, 2n(n+1)\}$.

Theorem 3. For every two integers $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 1$ the n -crown $G \approx C_m \overline{ok_n}$ is super $(\frac{2mn+3m+5}{2}, 2)$ edge – antimagic total labeling.

Proof. Let $G \approx C_m \overline{ok_n}$ be the n -crown with

$$V(G) = \{u_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{v_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq m; 1 \leq j \leq n\} \text{ and}$$

$$E(G) = \{u_1u_m \cup \{u_iu_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq m - 1\} \cup \{u_iu_{ij}\} : 1 \leq i \leq m; 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

Since $a = \frac{3mn+3m+5}{2}$ is a rational number that is not a integer. Thus m must be an odd.

Define the vertex labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, m(n+1)\}$ and edge labeling $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{m(n+1) + 1, \dots, 2m(n+1)\}$

Such that

$$f(u_i) = \frac{m+1}{2} + \begin{cases} \frac{i-1}{2}; & 1 \leq i \leq m, i \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{i}{2}; & 1 \leq i \leq m, i \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad f(u_i) =$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2m+n+1}{2} + (i-1) + m(j-1); & 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n \\ m(n+1) + i; & 1 \leq i \leq m \end{cases} \quad f(u_iv_{ij}) =$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{i-1}{2} + m(j-1); & 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n, i \text{ is odd} \\ m(j-1); & 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n, i \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad f(u_iv_{ij}) = m(n+2) + \frac{2n+1}{2} +$$

Hence f is a super (a,d)-edge antimagic total labeling with first term $\frac{2mn+3m+5}{2}$ and common difference 2.

Theorem 4. If $C_n^+, n \geq 3$ is a super (a,d)-edge-antimagic total then $d < 3$

Proof. Suppose that $C_n^+, n \geq 3$ has a super (a,d)-edge-antimagic total labeling $f: V(C_n^+) \cup E(C_n^+) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 4n\}$. The minimum edge weight is more than $(2n-1) + 2n + 4n$.

Thus $a + (q-1)d = a + (2n - 1)d \leq 8n-1$

On the other hand the minimum possible edge-weight is atleast $1+2 + (2n + 1)$

Since the minimum possible weight is $(2n + 4)$, it gives that $a > 2n + 3$

$$a + (q - 1)d \geq (2n + 4) + (2n - 1)d$$

$$\text{Thus } d \leq \frac{6n-5}{2n-1} < 3 \text{ for all } n > 2.$$

Theorem 5. Let $C_n^+, n \geq 3$ be super(a, d) - edge - antimagic total labeling.

If n is even then $d = 1$ and $a = \frac{7n+4}{2}$

If n is odd then $d = 0$ and $a = \frac{9n+3}{2}$ or $d = 2$ and $a = \frac{5n+5}{2}$

Proof. Assume that a one-to-one and onto mapping $f: V(C_n^+) \cup E(C_n^+) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 4n\}$ is super (a,d)-edge-antimagic total and

$$W = \{w(e): e \in E(C_n^+)\} = \{a, a + d, \dots, a + (q - 1)d\}$$

is the set of edge-weights.

The sum of all vertex labels and edge labels used to calculate the edge-weights is equal to

$$3 \sum_{v \in V(C_n^+)} f(v) + \sum_{v_i \in V(C_n^+)} f(v_i) + \sum_{e \in E(C_n^+)} f(e)$$

$$3\{1, 2, \dots, +n\} + \{(n + 1) + (n + 2) + \dots + 2n\} + \{(2n + 1) + (2n + 2) + \dots + 4n\}$$

$$= 3n^2 + 3n \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

The sum of edge-weights in the set W is

$$\sum_{e \in E(C_n^+)} w(e) = 2na + n(2n - 1)d \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

Combining (1) and (2) we get

$$a = \frac{1}{2} \{9n + 3 - (2n - 1)d\} \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

By Theorem 1, $d < 3$ then from (3) it follows:

If $d = 0$ then $a = \frac{9n+3}{2}$. The parameter a is integer if and only if n is odd.

If $d = 1$ then $a = \frac{7n+4}{2}$ and n is even

If $d = 2$ then $a = \frac{5n+5}{2}$ and n is odd.

Theorem 6. For n odd, $n \geq 3$, the cycle C_n^+ has super (a,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling and super (a,2)-edge-antimagic total labeling.

Proof. Let the cycle C_n^+ has $(C_n^+) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n; v_1^l, v_2^l, \dots, v_n^l\}$ and

$$E(C_n^+) \rightarrow \{v_i v_{i+1}: i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\} \cup \{v_n v_1\} \cup \{v_i v_{i+1}^l: i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

Also define the vertex labeling $f_1: V(C_n^+) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \cup \{n + 1, \dots, 2n\}$

And the edge labeling $f_2: E(C_n^+) = \{2n + 1, 2n + 2, \dots, 4n\}$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad f_1(v_i) &= \frac{1+i}{2} & 1 \leq i \leq n, i \text{ is odd.} \\
 f_1(v_i) &= \frac{n+i}{2} + \frac{i}{2} & 1 \leq i \leq n, i \text{ is even} \\
 f_1(x_i^l) &= 2n + 1 - i, & 1 \leq i \leq n \\
 f_2(x_i x_{i+1}) &= 4n - i, & 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \\
 f_2(x_n x_1) &= 4n \\
 f_2(x_i x_i^l) &= \frac{5n-1}{2} + \frac{i+1}{2} & 1 \leq i \leq n, i \text{ is odd.} \\
 f_2(x_i x_i^l) &= 2n + \frac{i}{2} & 1 \leq i \leq n, i \text{ is even}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the vertex labeling f_1 and the edge labeling f_2 gives total labeling. It is obvious that the labeling the edge-weights of all edges of C_n^+ constitute an AP-sequence with common difference $d = 0$

(ii) Now, construct the vertex labeling f_3 and the edge labeling f_4 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_3(v_i) &= f_1(v_i) \text{ for } & 1 \leq i \leq n \\
 f_3(v_i^l) &= \frac{3n+1}{2} + \frac{1+i}{2} \text{ for } & 1 \leq i \leq n - 2, i \text{ is odd.} \\
 f_3(v_i^l) &= n + 1 + \frac{i}{2} \text{ for } & 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, i \text{ is even} \\
 f_3(v_n^l) &= n + 1 \\
 f_4(x_i x_{i+1}) &= 2(n + 1) - 1 + i \text{ for } & 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \\
 f_4(x_n x_1) &= 2n + 1 \\
 f_4(x_i x_i^l) &= \frac{7(n-1)}{2} + i \text{ for } & 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \\
 f_4(x_n x_n^l) &= 3n + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Label the vertices and the edges C_n^+ by f_3 and f_4 we can see that the resulting labeling is total labeling and the set of edge-weights has of the consecutive integers

$$\left\{ \frac{5(n+1)}{2}, \frac{5(n+1)}{2} + 2, \dots, \frac{13n+1}{2} \right\}$$

Theorem 7. For n even, $n \geq 4$, the cycle C_n^+ has super (a,1)-edge-antimagic total labeling.

Proof. Consider $V(C_n^+) = \{v_1 v_2, \dots, v_n\} \cup \{v_1^l, v_2^l, \dots, v_n^l\}$ and

$$E(C_n^+) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i = 1, 2, \dots, (n - 1)\} \cup \{v_n v_2\} \cup \{v_i v_i^l : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

Define the vertex labeling (bisection)

$$f_5: V(C_n^+) \cup E(C_n^+) = \{1, 2, \dots, 4n\}$$

$$f_5(v_i) = i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$f_5(v_i^l) = n + 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$f_6(x_i x_{i+1}) = 4n + 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$$

$$f_6(x_n x_1) = 3n + 1$$

$$f_6(x_i x_i^l) = \frac{5n}{2} + 1 - i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

$$f_6(x_i x_i^l) = \frac{7n}{2} + 1 - i \text{ for } \frac{n}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq n$$

From previous theorems (6) and (7) we get the following Theorem

Theorem 8. The cycle C_n^+ has super (a,d)-edge-antimagic total labeling if and only if either.

- (i) $d \in \{0, 2\}$ and n is odd, $n \geq 3$ or
- (ii) $d = 1$ and n is even, $n \geq 4$

3. ANTIMAGIC OF DISJOINT GRAPH ($K_n \cup K_2$)

Theorem 1. If the graph $G = K_n \cup K_2$ is super (a,d)-edge-antimagic total then $d < 3$.

Proof. Assume that $G = K_n \cup K_2, n \geq 3$ is super (a,d)-edge-antimagic total labeling

$$f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n + 2\}$$

The maximum edge-weight is not more than $(n+1) + (n+2) + (2n+3)$

$$\text{Thus } a + (E(G) - 1)d = a + (n+1)d \leq (4n + 6)$$

On the other hand, the minimum possible weight is atleast $1 + 2 + (n + 2) + 1 = n+6$ it follows

that $a > n + 5$

$$a + (n + 1)d \geq n + 6 + (n + 1)d$$

$$\text{Thus } n + 6 + (n - 10)d \leq 4n + 6$$

$$d \leq \frac{3n}{n+1} = 3 - \frac{3}{n+1} < 3$$

Theorem 2. Let $G = K_n \cup K_2$ be super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total. If $d=1$,

$$a = 2n + 6 \text{ and } d = 2, a = \frac{3(n+4)}{2}$$

Proof. Suppose that a bijection f from $V(G) \cup E(G)$ onto the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n+2\}$ is suppose (a, d) -edge-antimagic total and

$W = \{w(e) : e \in E(G)\} = \{a, a + d, \dots, a + (n+1)d\}$ is the set of edge-weights is

$$(1) \quad 2\sum_{v \in V(K_n)} f(u) + [f(v) + f(w)] + \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e) = \frac{5n^2 + 19n + 18}{2} - [f(v) + f(w)]$$

The sum of the edge-weights in the set W is

$$(2) \quad \sum_{e \in E(G)} w(e) = (n+1)a + \frac{n(n+1)d}{2}$$

Equating (1) and (2) we get

$$a = \frac{5n^2 + 19n + 18}{2(n+1)} - \frac{[f(v) + f(w)]}{n+1} - \frac{n}{2}d$$

Since $f(v) \leq n+2$ and $f(w) \leq n+1$ or $f(v) \leq n+1$ and $f(w) \leq n+2$

$$(3) \quad \text{If } d = 0, f(v) + f(w) = \frac{5n^2 + 19n + 18}{2} - a(n+1) = \frac{5n^2 + 19n + 18}{2} - \frac{(5n+6)(n+1)}{2} = n+3$$

Therefore $f(v) + f(w) = n+3$

Since $f(u) \leq n+2$ and $f(w) \leq n+1$ or viceversa, $f(u) + f(w) \leq 2n+3$

It is easy to see that $1+2 \leq f(u) + f(w) \leq 2n+3$

Implies $f(u) + f(w) = n+3$

$$\text{If } d = 1, a = \frac{5n^2 + 19n + 18}{2} - \frac{f(u) + f(w)}{n+1} - \frac{n}{2}(1) = 2n+6$$

$$\text{If } d = 2, a = \frac{5n^2 + 19n + 18}{2} - \frac{f(u) + f(w)}{n+1} - \frac{n}{2}(2) = \frac{3(n+4)}{2}$$

JiYeon Park et al [8] proved that super magic (ie super $(a, 0)$ edge antimagic) total labeling of $C_n \cup K_2$ with magic constant $k = \frac{5n+6}{2}$ where n is even and $n \neq 10$.

In the following Theorem we proved super (a,d) , d = 1,2 edge antimagic total labeling of $C_n \cup K_2$

Theorem 3. The graph $G=C_n \cup K_2$ has super $(2n + 6,1)$ -edge-antimagic labeling if n is even.

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertex sequence of C_n and let u and w be the vertices of K_2 .

$V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, m, u, w\}$ and $E(G) = \{v_i v_{i+1} / 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \cup \{v_n, v_1\} \cup \{uw\}$
We define a labeling $f: V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2n + 3\}$

Where $f(u) = 1, f(w) = n + 2$

$$f(v_{2i-1}) = i + 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$f(v_{2i}) = \frac{n}{2} + 1 + i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$f(v_i v_{i+1}) = \frac{3n}{2} + 2 + i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$$

$$f(v_i v_{i+1}) = n - 3 + i, \quad \frac{n}{2} \leq i \leq n - 1$$

$$f(v_n v_1) = 2n + 2$$

$$f(uw) = 2n + 3$$

Combining the vertex labeling and edge labeling gives total labeling. It is easy to verify that under the total labeling the edge weights of all edges of $C_n \cup K_2$ constitute an arithmetic sequence with common difference $d=1$

Theorem 4. The disjoint graph $C_n \cup K_2$ where $n \geq 4$ even has super $(\frac{3(n+4)}{2}, 2)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling.

Proof. Now consider $n \geq 4, n$ is even

$$n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$

$$f(v_i) = i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3$$

$$f(v_{2i}) = \frac{n}{2} + 1 + i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$$

$$f(v_{2i-1}) = 2 + i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

$$f(v_{2i-1}v_{2i}) = n + 2 + 2i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{4} - 1$$

$$f(v_{n+1}v_2) = n + 4$$

$$f(v_2v_3) = \frac{3n}{2} + 1$$

$$f(v_{2i}v_{2i+1}) = n + 2i - 1, \quad 2 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{4} - 1$$

$$f(v_i v_{i+1}) = n + 3 + i, \quad \frac{n}{2} - 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

$$f(v_i) = i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3$$

$$f(v_{2i}) = \frac{n}{2} + 4 - i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

$$f(v_{2i+3}) = n + 2 - i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$$

$$f(v_{n+2}) = n + 2$$

$$f(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2n + 7 - i, \quad 4 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} + 5$$

$$f(v_{2i-1}v_{2i}) = 2n + 4 - 2i, \quad \left\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ or } \left\lceil \frac{n-3}{2} \right\rceil \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

$$f(v_{2i}v_{2i+1}) = \frac{5n}{2} - 4 - 2i, \quad \left\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ or } \left\lceil \frac{n-3}{2} \right\rceil \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

$$f(v_{2i-1}v_{2i}) = \frac{3n}{2} - 4 - 2i, \quad \left\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ or } \left\lceil \frac{i}{2} \right\rceil \leq i \leq 2$$

$$f(v_2v_3) = \frac{3n}{2} + 1$$

It is easily see that f is a super (a,2) edge antimagic total labeling $K_2 \cup C_n$.

4. ANTIMAGIC OF (n, 2)-KITE

Theorem1. Let $G = (n, 2)$ -Kite, $n \geq 3$. If the graph G is super (a,d)-edge-antimagic total then $d < 3$.

Proof. Assume that G has a super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling

$$f : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, |V(G)| + |E(G)|\}.$$

The maximum edge-weight is no more than

$$|V(G)| - 1 + |V(G)| + (|V(G)| + |E(G)|) = 4n + 7$$

$$\text{Thus } a + (|E(G) - 1|)d = a + (n + 1)d \leq 4n + 7$$

On the other side, the minimum possible edge-weight is atleast $1+2+|V(G)+1| = n + 6$.

The minimum possible edge-weight is $n+6$, it follows that $a > n+5$.

Hence

$$a + (n - 1)d \leq n + 6 + (n - 1)d \leq 4n + 7$$

$$d \leq \frac{3n + 1}{n + 1} = 3 - \frac{2}{n + 1} < 3$$

Second Method: Let $W = \{w(e) : e \in E(G)\} = \{a, a + d, \dots, a + (E(G) - 1)d\}$

The sum of all vertex labels and edge labels used to calculate the edge weights is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum f(v_i) + 3f(y) + f(z) + \sum_{xy \in E(G)} f(xy) \\ &= \frac{5n^2 + 23n + 26}{2} + f(y) - f(z) \dots \dots \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

The sum of edge-weights in the set W is

$$\sum_{xy \in E(G)} w(xy) = (n + 2)a + \frac{(n + 1)(n + 2)d}{2} \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

From (1) and (2)

$$\frac{5n^2 + 23n + 26}{2} + f(y) - f(z) = (n + 2)a + \frac{(n + 1)(n + 2)d}{2} \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

$$d = \frac{(5n^2 + 23n + 26 + 2(f(y) - f(z)) - 2((n + 2)(n + 6)))}{(n + 1)(n + 2)}$$

The label of the vertex $y \leq n+2$ and $z \leq n+1$ or $y \leq n+1$

and $z \leq n+2$ and $f(y) - f(z) \geq 1$ or -1

If $f(y) - f(z) \geq 1$ then $d \leq \frac{3n+4}{n+2} = 3 - \frac{2}{n+2} < 3$

If $f(y) - f(z) \geq -1$ then $d \leq \frac{3n^2+7n}{(n+1)(n+2)} = 3 - \frac{2(n+3)}{(n+1)(n+2)} < 3$.

In [8] JiYeon Park show that $(n,2)$ -Kite super edge magic (super $(a,0)$ edge-antimagic total)total labeling with magic constant $d = \frac{5n+12}{2}$ i.e. $a = \frac{5n+12}{2}$, $d = 0$.

From (3) we get

$$f(z) - f(y) = \frac{(n+2)(5n+12)}{2} - \frac{5n^2+23n+26}{2}$$

$$f(z) - f(y) = \frac{n+2}{2}$$

put $d = 1, f(z) - f(y) = \frac{n+2}{2}$ in (3) we have $a = \frac{4n+13}{2}$

and $d = 1, f(y) - f(z) = -\frac{n+2}{2}$ in (3) we have $a = \frac{4n+11}{2}$

In both cases 'a' is not an integer.

Thus $(n, 2)$ -Kite is not super $(a,1)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling.

Similarly from (3) we get with $a = n+6, d = 2$

$$f(y) - f(z) = -\frac{-n^2 - n + 2}{2}, \quad f(z) - f(y) = \frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2}$$

put $d = 2, f(z) - f(y) = \frac{n^2+n-2}{2}$ in (3) we have $a = 2n+5$

Put $d = 2, f(y) - f(z) = \frac{-n^2-n+2}{2}$ in (3) we have $a = n+6$

Difference of vertex weights $f(y)$ and $f(z)$ not possible.

Then $(n, 2)$ is not super (a,d) , $d \geq 1$ edge-antimagic total labeling.

REFERENCES

- [1] M Baca, Y Lin., Miller and R Simanjuntak, New constructions of magic and antimagic graphs labelings, *Utilitas Math.* Vol. 60 (2001) 229-239.
- [2] H. Enomoto, A.S. Llado, T. Nakamigawa, G. Ringel, Super edge magic graphs, *SUT J. Math.* 34 (1998) 105-109.
- [3] R M Figueroa-centeno, R Ichishima and F A Muntaner-Batle Magic, *Coronation of Graphs*(2001)
- [4] A Kotzig and A Rosa, Magic valuations of finite graphs Canada, *Math. Bull.* Vol. 13 (1970) 451-461.
- [5] R Sumanjuntak, F Bertault, M Miller, Two new (a,d) antimagic graph labelings, in: *Proceeding of 11th Australian Workshop of Combinatorial Algorithm* (2000) 179-189.
- [6] W.D. Wallis, E.T. Baskoro, M Miller, Slamin, Edge magic total labelings, *Australas J. Combin.* Vol. 22 (2000) 177-190.
- [7] D.B. West, *An Introduction to Graph Theory* Prentice-Hall, Inc. Upper Saddle River, NJ (1996).
- [8] JiYeon Park, Jin HyukCnoi and Jae-HyeongBae, On Super edge-magic labeling of somegraphs *Bull Korean Math. Soc.* Vol. 45, No. 1 (2008) 11-21.