

Decompositions of (μ_m, λ) -Continuity

R. Ramesh

*Department of Science and Humanities,
Dr. Mahalingam College of Engineering and Technology,
Pollachi, Tamil Nadu, India.*

R. Suresh

*Department of Science and Humanities,
Ambal Professional Group of Institutions, Palladam - 641 662,
Tamil Nadu, India.*

S. Palaniammal

*Department of Science and Humanities,
Sri Krishna College of Technology, Coimbatore- 641 042,
Tamil Nadu, India.*

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and study the notions of $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets, $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets, $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets, $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets, $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets and $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets. Also we obtain decompositions of (μ_m, λ) -continuity.

AMS subject classification: 54A05.

Keywords: μ -semi-open, μ - α -open, $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets, $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets, $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets, $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets, $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets and $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets.

1. Introduction

In 2002, Csaszar introduced the notions of generalized topology and generalized continuity. Let X be a nonempty set and μ be a collection of subsets of X . Then μ is called a *generalized topology* [1] (briefly GT) on X , if $\emptyset \in \mu$ and an arbitrary union of elements of μ belongs to μ . The μ -interior of a subset A of (X, μ) is denoted by $i_\mu(A)$, is the union of μ -open sets contained in A . The μ -closure $c_\mu(A)$ is the smallest μ -closed

subset of X containing A . A subset A of a space (X, μ) is μ - α -open [2] (resp. μ -semi-open [2], μ -pre-open [2], μ - β -open [2]), if $A \subset i_\mu c_\mu i_\mu(A)$ (resp. $A \subset c_\mu i_\mu(A)$, $A \subset i_\mu c_\mu(A)$, $A \subset c_\mu i_\mu c_\mu(A)$). Let us denote by $\alpha(\mu)$ the class of all μ - α -open sets, $\sigma(\mu)$ by that of all μ -semi-open sets, $\pi(\mu)$ by that of all μ -pre-open sets, $\beta(\mu)$ by that of all μ - β -open sets. The μ - α -interior [2] (resp. μ -semi-interior [2]) of a subset A of a generalized topological space (X, μ) denote by $i_\alpha A$ (resp. $i_\sigma(A)$) is defined by the union of all μ - α -open (resp. μ -semi-open) sets of (X, μ) contained A . Let (X, μ) and (Y, λ) be GTS's. Then a function $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is (μ, λ) -continuous [1] (resp. (α, λ) -continuous [3], (σ, λ) -continuous [3]), if for each λ -open set U in Y , $f^{-1}(U)$ is μ -open (resp. μ - α -open, μ -semi-open) in (X, μ) . Throughout this paper (X, μ) and (Y, λ) denote generalized topological spaces. Let X be a non empty set and g_X be a generalized topology and m_X a minimal structure on X . A triple (X, g_X, m_X) is called a *generalized topology and minimal structure space (briefly GTMS space)*. Let (X, g_X, m_X) be a generalized topology and minimal structure and A a subset of X . The closure and interior of A in g_X are denote by $g_X-Cl(A)$ and $g_X-Int(A)$, respectively. And the closure and interior of A in m_X are denoted by $m_X-Cl(A)$ and $m_X-Int(A)$, respectively. In this paper (X, μ, m) (resp. $i_m(A)$) denote generalized topology and minimal structure space (resp. $m_X-Int(A)$). The element of (X, μ, m) are called m_μ -open sets. $A \subset X$ is m_μ - α -open [7] (resp. m_μ -semi-open [7], m_μ -pre-open, [7] m_μ - β -open) [7], iff $A \subset i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A)))$ (resp. $A \subset c_\mu(i_m(A))$, $A \subset i_m(c_\mu(A))$, $A \subset c_\mu(i_m(c_\mu(A)))$). A function $f : (X, \mu, m) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is said to be (μ_m, λ) [7] (resp. (α_m, λ) -continuous [7], (σ_m, λ) -continuous [7], (π_m, λ) -continuous [7], (β_m, λ) -continuous) [7], if for each λ -open set U in (Y, λ) , $f^{-1}(U)$ is m_μ -open (resp. m_μ - α -open, m_μ -semi-open, m_μ -pre-open, m_μ - β -open) set in (X, μ, m) . In this paper the minimal structure m is closed under arbitrary union.

Lemma 1.1. [2], **Lemma 2.2** Let (X, μ) be a generalized topological spaces. For any $A \subset X$, we have

1. $i_\sigma(A) = A \cap c_\mu i_\mu(A)$
2. $i_\alpha(A) = A \cap i_\mu c_\mu i_\mu(A)$.

Lemma 1.2. [2], **Theorem 2.1** For a generalized topology μ on X , we have $\mu \subset \alpha(\mu) \subset \sigma(\mu) \subset \beta(\mu)$.

Proposition 1.3. Let (X, μ, m) be generalized topology and minimal structure space, where m is closed under union. Then

1. $A \subset B \subset X$ implies $i_m(A) \subset i_m(B)$,
2. $i_m(A) \subset A$,
3. $i_m(i_m(A)) = i_m(A)$,
4. $i_m(A) = A$, if $A \in m$,

5. A is m -closed if and only if $c_m(A) = A$.

Proof.

1. (1) and (2) are evident.
3. By (2) $i_m i_m(A) \subset i_m(A)$. On the other hand if $L \in m$ and $L \subset A$ then $L \subset i_m(A)$ by definition so that $L \subset i_m i_m(A)$ by definition again, consequently $i_m(A) \subset i_m i_m(A)$ and $i_m(A) = i_m i_m A$.
4. If $A \in m$, then $i_m(A) = \bigcup \{F : F \in m, F \subseteq A\} = A$. Conversely, since m is closed under arbitrary union, then $i_m(A) \in m$. It follows that $A \in m$.
5. If A is m -closed set, then $X - A \in m$. By definition of i_m , $i_m(X - A) = X - A$, $i_m(X - A) = X - c_m(A)$. In consequence $c_m(A) = A$.

2. The class of $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets and related sets

Definition 2.1. For a generalized topological space (X, μ) , we denote:

1. $D(\mu, \sigma) = \{A \subseteq X : i_\mu(A) = i_\sigma(A)\}$,
2. $D(\mu, \alpha) = \{A \subseteq X : i_\mu(A) = i_\alpha(A)\}$,
3. $D(\alpha, \sigma) = \{A \subseteq X : i_\alpha(A) = i_\sigma(A)\}$.

Theorem 2.2. In (X, μ) , the following holds:

1. Every $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set is $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set, but not conversely.
2. Every μ - α -open set is $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set but not conversely.

Proof.

1. Let A be a $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set. Then $i_\mu(A) = i_\sigma(A) = A \cap c_\mu i_\mu(A)$. Now, $i_\alpha(A) = A \cap i_\mu c_\mu i_\mu(A) \subseteq A \cap c_\mu i_\mu(A) = i_\sigma(A) = i_\mu(A)$. Also, $i_\mu(A) \subseteq A \cap i_\mu c_\mu i_\mu(A) = i_\alpha(A)$. Hence $i_\mu(A) = i_\alpha(A)$ and A is a $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set.
2. Let A be a μ - α -open set. Then $A = i_\alpha(A)$ and $A = i_\sigma(A)$, since $\alpha(\mu) \subset \sigma(\mu)$ by Lemma 1.2. Therefore $i_\alpha(A) = i_\sigma(A)$. Hence A is $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then $A = \{c\}$ is $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set but not $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set.

Example 2.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Then $A = \{a, c\}$ is $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set but not μ - α -open set.

Remark 2.5. The following example show that in (X, μ) , the intersection (resp. union) of two $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets (resp. $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets, $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets) need not be $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set (resp. $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set, $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set).

Example 2.6. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, c, d\}$ and $B = \{b, c, d\}$ are $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets and $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets but $A \cap B = \{c, d\}$ is neither $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set nor $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set.

Example 2.7. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{d, e\}, \{b, c, e\}, \{a, c, e\}, \{a, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, e\}, \{b, c, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$. Then $A = \{a, c, d, e\}$ and $B = \{b, c, d, e\}$ are $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets but $A \cap B = \{c, d, e\}$ is not a $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set.

Example 2.8. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$. Then $A = \{a, b\}$ and $B = \{d\}$ are $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set and $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set but $A \cup B = \{a, b, d\}$ is neither $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set nor $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set.

Example 2.9. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$. Then $A = \{a, b\}$ and $B = \{d\}$ are $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets but $A \cup B = \{a, b, d\}$ is not a $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set.

Theorem 2.10. Let (X, μ) be a generalized topological space. Then $\alpha(\mu) \cap D(\mu, \alpha) = \mu$.

Proof. Let $A \in \alpha(\mu) \cap D(\mu, \alpha)$. Then $A = i_\alpha(A)$, $i_\mu(A) = i_\alpha(A)$ and consequently $A \in \mu$. Conversely, if $A \in \mu$, then $A = i_\mu(A)$ and $i_\alpha(A)$ since $\mu \subset \alpha(\mu)$. Therefore $A \in \alpha(\mu) \cap D(\mu, \alpha)$. Hence $\alpha(\mu) \cap D(\mu, \alpha) = \mu$. ■

Theorem 2.11. Let A be a subset of (X, μ) . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. A is μ -open,
2. A is μ - α -open and a $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set,
3. A is μ -semi-open and a $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be a μ -open set. Every μ -open set is μ - α -open set by Lemma 1.1. Since $A = i_\mu(A)$, then $A \cap c_\mu i_\mu(A) = A \cap c_\mu(A) = A = i_\mu(A)$. Therefore A is $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is trivial by Lemma 1.2.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let A is μ -semi-open and $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set. Then $A = i_\sigma(A)$ and $i_\mu(A) = i_\sigma(A)$. Therefore $A = i_\mu(A)$. Hence A is μ -open. ■

Theorem 2.12. Let (X, μ) be a generalized topological space. Then $\sigma(\mu) \cap D(\alpha, \sigma) = \alpha(\mu)$.

Proof. Let $A \in \sigma(\mu) \cap D(\alpha, \sigma)$. Then $A = i_\sigma(A)$, $i_\alpha(A) = i_\sigma(A)$ and consequently $A \in \alpha(\mu)$. Conversely, if $A \in \alpha(\mu)$, then $A = i_\alpha(A)$ and $A = i_\sigma(A)$, since $\alpha(\mu) \subset \sigma(\mu)$. Therefore $A \in \sigma(\mu) \cap D(\alpha, \sigma)$. Hence $\sigma(\mu) \cap D(\alpha, \sigma) = \alpha(\mu)$. ■

Remark 2.13.

1. The notions of μ - α -open sets and $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets are independent,
2. The notions of μ - α -open sets and $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets are independent,
3. The notions of μ -semi-open sets and $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets are independent,
4. The notions of μ -semi-open sets and $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets are independent,
5. The notions of $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets and $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets are independent.

Example 2.14. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$. Then $A = \{a, b, d\}$ is μ - α -open but neither $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set nor $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set.

Example 2.15. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, c\}$ is $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set but not μ - α -open, $B = \{b\}$ is $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set but not μ - α -open and $C = \{c\}$ is μ -semi-open but neither $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set nor $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set.

Example 2.16. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Then $A = \{a\}$ is $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set and $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set but not μ -semi-open.

Example 2.17. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, c\}$ is $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set but not $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set.

Example 2.18. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$. Then $A = \{a, b, c\}$ is $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set but not $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set.

3. The class of $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets and related sets

Definition 3.1. For a generalized topology and minimal structure space (X, μ, m) , we denote:

1. $D_m(\mu, \alpha) = \{A \subseteq X : i_m(A) = A \cap i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A)))\}$,
2. $D_m(\mu, \sigma) = \{A \subseteq X : i_m(A) = A \cap c_\mu(i_m(A))\}$,
3. $D_m(\alpha, \sigma) = \{A \subseteq X : A \cap i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A))) = A \cap c_\mu(i_m(A))\}$.

Theorem 3.2. In (X, μ, m) , every $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set is $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set, but not conversely.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ be the set of all real numbers, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} - \sqrt{2}\}$ and $m = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}\}$, where \mathbb{Q} is set of all rational numbers and $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ is set of all irrational numbers. Then $A = \mathbb{Q} \cup \sqrt{2}$ is $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set but not $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set.

Remark 3.4. The following example show that in (X, μ, m) , intersection (resp. union) of two $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets (resp. $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets, $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets) need not be $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set (resp. $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set, $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set).

Example 3.5. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{b, c, d, e\}, X\}$ and $m = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{b, c, d, e\}, X\}$. Then $A = \{a, b, d, e\}$, $B = \{b, c, d, e\}$ are $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets (resp. $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets) but $A \cap B = \{b, d, e\}$ is not $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set (resp. $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set) and $C = \{b, d\}$, $D = \{e\}$ are $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets (resp. $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets) but $C \cup D = \{b, d, e\}$ is not $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set (resp. $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set).

Example 3.6. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}\}$ and $m = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d, e\}, X\}$. Then $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $B = \{a, b, d, e\}$ are $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets but $A \cap B$ is not a $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set and $C = \{c\}$, $D = \{e\}$ are $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets but $D \cup C = \{c, e\}$ is not $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set.

Theorem 3.7. A subset A of (X, μ, m) is m_μ -open if and only if m_μ - α -open and $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set.

Proof. Let A be m_μ - α -open and $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set. Then $A \subset i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A)))$ and $i_m(A) = i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A)))$. Which implies $i_m(A) \subset A \subset A \cap i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A)))$. Therefore $i_m(A) \subset A \subset i_m(A)$. Hence A is m_μ -open. Conversely, if A is m_μ -open, then $i_m(A) \subset c_\mu(i_m(A))$, $i_m(A) \subset i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A)))$ implies $A \cap i_m(A) \subset A \cap i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A)))$ thus $i_m(A) \subset A \cap i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A)))$. $i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A))) \subset c_\mu(i_m(A)) = c_\mu(A)$ implies $A \cap i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A))) \subset A \cap c_\mu(A) = A = i_m(A)$. Hence A is $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set.

Theorem 3.8. Let A be a subset of (X, μ, m) . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. A is m_μ -open,
2. A is m_μ - α -open and $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set,
3. A is m_μ -semi-open and $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let A is m_μ -open. Then $i_m(A) \subset c_\mu(i_m(A))$, $i_m(A) \subset i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A)))$. Therefore $A \subset i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A)))$ and $A \cap c_\mu(i_m(A)) = A \cap c_\mu(A) = A = i_m(A)$. Hence A is m_μ - α -open and $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let A be m_μ -semi-open and $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set. Then $A \subset c_\mu(i_m(A))$ and $i_m(A) = A \cap c_\mu(i_m(A))$, $A \subset c_\mu(i_m(A)) = A \cap c_\mu(i_m(A)) = i_m(A)$. Hence A is m_μ -open. ■

Theorem 3.9. A subset A of (X, μ, m) is m_μ - α -open if and only if m_μ -semi-open and $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set.

Proof. Let A be m_μ - α -open set. Then $A \subset i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A))) \subset c_\mu(i_m(A))$, thus A is m_μ -semi-open and $A \cap i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A))) = A = A \cap c_\mu(i_m(A))$. Hence A is $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set. Conversely A be m_μ -semi-open and $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set. Then $A \cap i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A))) = A \cap c_\mu(i_m(A))$

and $A \subset c_\mu(i_m(A))$ which implies $A \subset A \cap (c_\mu(i_m(A))) = A \cap i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A))) \subset i_m(c_\mu(i_m(A)))$. Hence A is m_μ - α -open set. ■

4. Decompositions of (μ_m, λ) -continuity

Definition 4.1. A function $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is said to be $(D(\mu, \alpha), \lambda)$ -continuous (resp. $(D(\mu, \sigma), \lambda)$ -continuous and $(D(\alpha, \sigma), \lambda)$ -continuous) if $f^{-1}(U)$ is a $D(\mu, \alpha)$ -set (resp. $D(\mu, \sigma)$ -set, $D(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set) for each $U \in \lambda$.

Definition 4.2. A function $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is said to be $(D_m(\mu, \alpha), \lambda)$ -continuous (resp. $(D_m(\mu, \sigma), \lambda)$ -continuous and $(D_m(\alpha, \sigma), \lambda)$ -continuous) if $f^{-1}(U)$ is a $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set (resp. $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set, $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set) for each $U \in \lambda$.

Remark 4.3.

1. The notions of m_μ - α -open sets and $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets are independent,
2. The notions of m_μ - α -open sets and $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets are independent,
3. The notions of m_μ -semi-open sets and $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -sets are independent,
4. The notions of m_μ -semi-open sets and $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets are independent,
5. The notions of $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -sets and $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -sets are independent.

Example 4.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}\}$ and $m = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d, e\}, X\}$. Then $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$ is m_μ - α -open (resp. m_μ -semi-open) but not $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set (resp. $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set), $B = \{c\}$ is $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set (resp. $D_m(\mu, \sigma)$ -set) but not m_μ - α -open (resp. m_μ -semi-open), $C = \{e\}$ is m_μ -semi-open (resp. $D_m(\mu, \alpha)$ -set) but not $D_m(\alpha, \sigma)$ -set.

Theorem 4.5. A function $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is (μ, λ) -continuous if and only if it is (α, λ) -continuous and $(D(\mu, \alpha), \lambda)$ -continuous.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.10. ■

Theorem 4.6. Let $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. f is (μ, λ) -continuous,
2. f is (α, λ) -continuous and $(D(\mu, \sigma), \lambda)$ -continuous,
3. f is (σ, λ) -continuous and $(D(\mu, \sigma), \lambda)$ -continuous.

Proof. The proof is follows from Theorem 2.11. ■

Theorem 4.7. A function $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is (α, λ) -continuous if and only if it is (σ, λ) -continuous and $(D(\alpha, \sigma), \lambda)$ -continuous.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.12. ■

Theorem 4.8. A function $f : (X, \mu, m) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is (μ_m, λ) -continuous if and only if it is (α_m, λ) -continuous and $(D_m(\mu, \alpha), \lambda)$ -continuous.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.7. ■

Theorem 4.9. Let $f : (X, \mu, m) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. f is (μ_m, λ) -continuous,
2. f is (α_m, λ) -continuous and $(D_m(\mu, \sigma), \lambda)$ -continuous,
3. f is (σ_m, λ) -continuous and $(D_m(\mu, \sigma), \lambda)$ -continuous.

Proof. The proof is follows from Theorem 3.8. ■

Theorem 4.10. A function $f : (X, \mu, m) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$ is (α_m, λ) -continuous if and only if it is (σ_m, λ) -continuous and $(D_m(\alpha, \sigma), \lambda)$ -continuous.

Proof. The proof is follows from Theorem 3.9. ■

References

- [1] A. Csaszar, *Generalized topology, generalized continuity*, Acta Math. Hungar., **96**(2002), 351–357.
- [2] A. Csaszar, *Generalized open sets in generalized topologies*, Acta Math. Hungar., **106**(1-2)(2005), 53–66.
- [3] W. K. Min, *Generalized continuous functions defined by generalized open sets on generalized topological spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., **128**(4)(2010), 299–306.
- [4] J. Dontchev, *On the various decompositions of continuous and some weakly continuous functions*, Acta Math. Hungar., **17**(1-2)(1996), 109–120.
- [5] M. Przemski, *A decomposition of continuity and α -continuity*, Acta Math. Hungar., **61**(1-2)(1993), 93–98.
- [6] M. Rajamani, V. Inthumathi and R. Ramesh *A Decompositions of (μ, λ) -continuity in generalized topological spaces*, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics **6**(1) (2013), 15–27.
- [7] R. Ramesh, R. Suresh and S. Palaniammal *$\gamma_m(\mu)$ -sets in generalized topological spaces* (Communicated).
- [8] Sunisa Buadong, Chokchai Viriyapong and Chawalit Boonpok *On Generalized Topology and Minimal Structure Space*, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis., **5**(31)(2011), 1507–1516.