

## On Fuzzy $\sigma$ -Baire Spaces

G. Thangaraj and E. Poongothai

*Department of Mathematics, Thiruvalluvar University  
Vellore-632 115, Tamilnadu, INDIA.*

*Department of Mathematics  
Shanmuga Industries Arts & Science College,  
Thiruvannamalai-606 601, Tamilnadu, India*

### Abstract

In this paper the concepts of fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire spaces are introduced and characterizations of fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire spaces are studied. Several examples are given to illustrate the concepts introduced in this paper.

**KEYWORDS:** Fuzzy  $F_\sigma$ -set, fuzzy  $G_\delta$ -set, fuzzy nowhere dense set, Fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set, Fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category, Fuzzy  $\sigma$ -second category and Fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire spaces,

**2000 AMS Classification:** 54A40, 03E72.

### INTRODUCTION.

The fuzzy concept has invaded almost all branches of Mathematics ever since the introduction of fuzzy set by L. A. ZADEH [12]. The theory of fuzzy topological spaces was introduced and developed by C. L. CHANG [3]. Since then much attention has been paid to generalize the basic concepts of General Topology in fuzzy setting and thus a modern theory of fuzzy topology has been developed. In recent years, fuzzy topology has been found to be very useful in solving many practical problems. In [5] El. Naschie showed that the notion of fuzzy topology might be relevant to Quantum Particle Physics in connection with String Theory. It has been shown that the fuzzy Kähler manifolds which are based on a topology, play the important role in  $\infty$  theory. In this paper we introduce the concepts of fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire spaces. Also we discuss several characterizations of fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire spaces.

Several examples are given to illustrate the concepts introduced in this paper.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

By a fuzzy topological space we shall mean a non-empty set  $X$  together with a fuzzy topology  $T$  (in the sense of Chang) and denote it by  $(X, T)$ .

**DEFINITION 2. 1:** Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be any two fuzzy sets in  $(X, T)$ . Then we define  $\lambda \vee \mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:  $(\lambda \vee \mu)(x) = \text{Max} \{ \lambda(x), \mu(x) \}$ . Also we define  $\lambda \wedge \mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:  $(\lambda \wedge \mu)(x) = \text{Min} \{ \lambda(x), \mu(x) \}$ .

**DEFINITION 2. 2` [1] . :** Let  $(X, T)$  be any fuzzy topological space and  $\lambda$  be any fuzzy set in  $(X, T)$ . We define  $\text{Cl}(\lambda) = \bigwedge \{ \mu / \lambda \leq \mu, 1 - \mu \in T \}$  and  $\text{int}(\lambda) = \bigvee \{ \mu / \mu \leq \lambda, \mu \in T \}$ .

For any fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$ , it is easy to see that  $1 - \text{cl}(\lambda) = \text{int}(1 - \lambda)$  and  $1 - \text{int}(\lambda) = \text{cl}(1 - \lambda)$ .

**DEFINITION 2. 3:** Let  $(X, T)$  and  $(Y, S)$  be any two fuzzy topological spaces. Let  $f$  be a function from the fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  to the fuzzy topological space  $(Y, S)$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy set in  $(Y, S)$ . The inverse image of  $\lambda$  under  $f$  written as  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  is the fuzzy set in  $(X, T)$  defined by  $f^{-1}(\lambda)(x) = \lambda(f(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ . Also the image of  $\lambda$  in  $(X, T)$  under  $f$  written as  $f(\lambda)$  is the fuzzy set in  $(Y, S)$  defined by

$$f(\lambda)(y) = \begin{cases} \sup \{ \lambda(x) / x \in f^{-1}(y) \} & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \text{ is non-empty;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \text{for each } y \in Y.$$

**Lemma 2. 1 [3] :** Let  $f: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$  be a mapping. For fuzzy sets  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  of  $(X, T)$  and  $(Y, S)$  respectively, the following statements hold:

1.  $f^{-1}(f(\mu)) \leq \mu$ ;
2.  $f^{-1}f(\lambda) \geq \lambda$ ;
3.  $f(1 - \lambda) \geq 1 - f(\lambda)$ ;
4.  $f^{-1}(1 - \mu) = 1 - f^{-1}(\mu)$ ;
5. If  $f$  is one-to-one, then  $f^{-1}f(\lambda) = \lambda$ ;
6. If  $f$  is onto, then  $f f^{-1}(\mu) = \mu$ ;
7. If  $f$  is one-to-one and onto, then  $f(1 - \lambda) = 1 - f(\lambda)$ .

**DEFINITION 2. 4 [8] :** A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  is called fuzzy dense if there exists no fuzzy closed set  $\mu$  in  $(X, T)$  such that  $\lambda < \mu < 1$ .

**DEFINITION 2. 5 [3] :** A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  is called a fuzzy  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $(X, T)$  if  $\lambda = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i$ , where  $\lambda_i \in T$  for  $i \in I$ .

**DEFINITION 2. 6 [3] :** A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  is called a fuzzy  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(X, T)$  if  $\lambda = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i$ , where  $\lambda_i \in T$  for  $i \in I$ .

**DEFINITION 2. 7 [1] :** A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  is called a fuzzy semi-open if  $\lambda \leq \text{cl}(\lambda)$ . The complement of  $\lambda$  in  $(X, T)$  is called a fuzzy semi-closed set in  $(X, T)$ .

**DEFINITION 2. 8 [10] :** A fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  is called a fuzzy open hereditarily irresolvable space if  $\text{int}(\lambda) \neq 0$ , then  $\text{int}(\lambda) \neq 0$  for any non-zero fuzzy set in  $(X, T)$ .

**DEFINITION 2. 9. [8] :** A fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  is called a fuzzy first category if the fuzzy set  $\mathbf{1}_X$  is a fuzzy first category set in  $(X, T)$ . That is,  $\mathbf{1}_X = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Otherwise,  $(X, T)$  will be called a fuzzy second category space.

### 3. FUZZY $\sigma$ -NOWHERE DENSE SETS

Motivated by the classical concept introduced in [5] we shall now define:

**DEFINITION 3. 1:** Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy topological space. A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in  $(X, T)$  is called a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set if  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $F_{\sigma}$ -set in  $(X, T)$  such that  $\text{int}(\lambda) = 0$ .

**EXAMPLE 3. 1:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ . The fuzzy sets  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\nu$  are defined on  $X$  as follows:

- $\lambda: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\lambda(a) = 0.3; \lambda(b) = 0.7; \lambda(c) = 0.4$ .
- $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\mu(a) = 0.5; \mu(b) = 0.4; \mu(c) = 0.8$ .
- $\nu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\nu(a) = 0.2; \nu(b) = 0.4; \nu(c) = 0.6$ .

Then,  $T = \{0, \lambda, \mu, \nu, \lambda \vee \mu, \lambda \vee \nu, \lambda \wedge \mu, \lambda \wedge \nu, \nu \vee (\lambda \wedge \mu), 1\}$  is clearly a fuzzy topology on  $X$ . Now consider the fuzzy set  $\delta = [1 - (\lambda \vee \mu)] \vee [1 - (\lambda \vee \nu)]$  in  $(X, T)$ . Then  $\delta$  is a fuzzy  $F_{\sigma}$ -set in  $(X, T)$  and  $\text{int}(\delta) = 0$  and hence  $\delta$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ . The fuzzy set  $\beta = (1 - \lambda) \vee (1 - \mu) \vee (1 - \nu)$  is a fuzzy  $F_{\sigma}$ -set in  $(X, T)$  and  $\text{int}(\beta) \neq 0$  and hence  $\beta$  is not a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ .

**REMARKS 3. 1:** If  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets, then  $\lambda \vee \mu$  need not be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ . For, consider the following example:

**EXAMPLE 3. 2:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ . The fuzzy sets  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\nu$  are defined on  $X$  as follows:

- $\lambda: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined as  $\lambda(a) = 0.6; \lambda(b) = 0.7; \lambda(c) = 0.5$ .
- $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined as  $\mu(a) = 0.5; \mu(b) = 0.4; \mu(c) = 0.8$ .
- $\nu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined as  $\nu(a) = 0.7; \nu(b) = 0.6; \nu(c) = 0.5$ .

Now  $\alpha = [(1 - \mu) \vee \{1 - (\lambda \vee \mu)\}] \vee \{1 - (\mu \vee \nu)\}$  and  $\beta = [(1 - \lambda) \vee (1 - \nu)]$  are fuzzy  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets in  $(X, T)$ . Also  $\text{int}(\alpha) = 0$  and  $\text{int}(\beta) = 0$ . Therefore  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . But  $\alpha \vee \beta$  is not a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ .

since  $\alpha \vee \beta$  is a fuzzy  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $(X, T)$  and  $\text{int}(\alpha \vee \beta) = \mu \wedge \nu \neq 0$ .

**PROPOSITION 3. 1:** In a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  a fuzzy set  $\lambda$  is fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense in  $(X, T)$  if and only if  $1 - \lambda$  is a fuzzy dense and fuzzy  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(X, T)$ .

**PROOF:** Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ . Then  $\lambda = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$  where  $1 - \lambda_i \in T$ , for  $i \in I$  and  $\text{int}(\lambda) = 0$ . Then  $1 - \text{int}(\lambda) = 1 - 0 = 1$  implies that  $\text{cl}(1 - \lambda) = 1$ .

Also  $(1 - \lambda) = 1 - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_i)$  where  $1 - \lambda_i \in T$ , for  $i \in I$ . Hence we have  $1 - \lambda$  is a fuzzy dense and fuzzy  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(X, T)$ .

Conversely, let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy dense and fuzzy  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(X, T)$ . Then  $\lambda = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$  where  $\lambda_i \in T$ , for  $i \in I$ . Now  $(1 - \lambda) = 1 - \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_i)$ .

Hence  $1 - \lambda$  is a  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $(X, T)$  and  $\text{int}(1 - \lambda) = 1 - \text{cl}(\lambda) = 1 - 1 = 0$ . [since  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy dense]. Therefore  $1 - \lambda$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3. 2:** If  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy dense set in  $(X, T)$  such that  $\mu \leq (1 - \lambda)$ , where  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $(X, T)$ , then  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ .

**PROOF:** Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy dense set in  $(X, T)$  such that  $\mu \leq (1 - \lambda)$ . Now  $\mu \leq (1 - \lambda)$  implies that  $\text{int}(\mu) \leq \text{int}(1 - \lambda) = 1 - \text{cl}(\lambda) = 1 - 1 = 0$  and hence  $\text{int}(\mu) = 0$ .

Therefore  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3. 3:** If  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $F_\sigma$ -set and fuzzy nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ , then  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ .

**PROOF:** Now  $\lambda \leq \text{cl}(\lambda)$  for any fuzzy set in  $(X, T)$ . Then,  $\text{int}(\lambda) \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\lambda))$ . Since  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ ,  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\lambda)) = 0$  and hence  $\text{int}(\lambda) = 0$  and  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $F_\sigma$ -set implies that  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ .

**REMARKS 3. 2:** If  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $F_\sigma$ -set and fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ , then  $\lambda$  need not be a fuzzy nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ . For, consider the following example:

**EXAMPLE 3. 3:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ . The fuzzy sets  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\nu$  are defined on  $X$  as follows:

- $\lambda: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined as  $\lambda(a) = 0.8$ ;  $\lambda(b) = 0.6$ ;  $\lambda(c) = 0.7$ .
- $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined as  $\mu(a) = 0.6$ ;  $\mu(b) = 0.9$ ;  $\mu(c) = 0.8$ .
- $\nu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined as  $\nu(a) = 0.7$ ;  $\nu(b) = 0.5$ ;  $\nu(c) = 0.9$ .

Then  $T = \{0, \lambda, \mu, \nu, \lambda \vee \mu, \lambda \vee \nu, \mu \vee \nu, \lambda \wedge \mu, \lambda \wedge \nu, \mu \wedge \nu, \lambda \vee (\mu \wedge \nu), \mu \vee (\lambda \wedge \nu), \nu \vee (\lambda \wedge \mu), 1\}$  is a fuzzy topology on  $X$ . Now the fuzzy set  $\eta = (1 - \mu) \vee (1 - [\lambda \wedge \nu]) \vee (1 - \nu)$  and  $\text{int}(\eta) = 0$  and hence  $\eta$  is a fuzzy  $F_\sigma$ -set and fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$  but  $\eta$  is not a fuzzy nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$  since  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\eta)) \neq 0$ .

**PROPOSITION 3. 4:** If  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy open hereditarily irresolvable space, any fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ .

**PROOF:** Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in an fuzzy open hereditarily irresolvable space  $(X, T)$ . Then  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $(X, T)$  such that  $\text{int}(\lambda) = 0$ . Since  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy open hereditarily irresolvable space,  $\text{int}(\lambda) = 0$  implies that  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\lambda)) = 0$ . Hence  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ .

**DEFINITION 3. 2:** Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy topological space. A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in  $(X, T)$  is called fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category if  $\lambda = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Any other fuzzy set in  $(X, T)$  is said to be fuzzy  $\sigma$ -second category in  $(X, T)$ .

**DEFINITION 3. 3:** Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category set in  $(X, T)$ . Then  $1 - \lambda$  is called a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -residual set in  $(X, T)$ .

**DEFINITION 3. 4:** A fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  is called fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category if the fuzzy set  $1_X$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category set in  $(X, T)$ . That is,  $1_X = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Otherwise,  $(X, T)$  will be called a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -second category space.

#### 4. FUZZY $\sigma$ -BAIRE SPACE

Motivated by the classical concept introduced in [6] we shall now define:

**DEFINITION 4. 1:** Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy topological space. Then  $(X, T)$  is called a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire Space if  $\text{int}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i)) = 0$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ .

**EXAMPLE 4. 1:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ . The fuzzy sets  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\nu$  are defined on  $X$  as follows:

- $\lambda: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\lambda(a) = 0.8; \lambda(b) = 0.6; \lambda(c) = 0.7$ .
- $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\mu(a) = 0.6; \mu(b) = 0.9; \mu(c) = 0.8$ .
- $\nu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\nu(a) = 0.7; \nu(b) = 0.5; \nu(c) = 0.9$ .

Then  $T = \{0, \lambda, \mu, \nu, \lambda \vee \mu, \lambda \vee \nu, \mu \vee \nu, \lambda \wedge \mu, \lambda \wedge \nu, \mu \wedge \nu, \lambda \wedge (\mu \vee \nu), \lambda \vee (\mu \wedge \nu), \mu \wedge (\lambda \vee \nu), \nu \wedge (\lambda \vee \mu), \nu \vee (\lambda \wedge \mu), 1\}$  is a fuzzy topology on  $X$ . Now

- $\alpha = (1 - \lambda) \vee (1 - [\lambda \vee (\mu \wedge \nu)]) \vee [1 - (\lambda \vee \mu \vee \nu)]$  and  $\text{int}(\alpha) = 0$ .
- $\beta = (1 - \mu) \vee (1 - [\lambda \vee \nu]) \vee [1 - (\mu \vee (\lambda \wedge \nu))]$  and  $\text{int}(\beta) = 0$ .
- $\delta = (1 - \nu) \vee (1 - [\lambda \vee \mu]) \vee [1 - (\lambda \wedge \mu)] \vee (1 - [\mu \vee \nu])$  and  $\text{int}(\delta) = 0$ .
- $\eta = (1 - [\lambda \wedge \nu]) \vee (1 - [\mu \wedge \nu]) \vee [1 - (\lambda \wedge [\mu \vee \nu]), \vee (1 - [\mu \wedge (\lambda \vee \nu)])]$  and  $\text{int}(\eta) = 0$ .

Then  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\eta$  are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$  and also  $\text{int}(\alpha \vee \beta \vee \eta \vee \eta) = 0$  and therefore  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire Space.

**PROPOSITION 4. 1:** Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy topological space. Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space.
2.  $\text{Int}(\lambda) = 0$  for every fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category set  $\lambda$  in  $(X, T)$ .
3.  $\text{cl}(\mu) = 1$  for every fuzzy  $\sigma$ -residual set  $\mu$  in  $(X, T)$ .

**PROOF:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category set in  $(X, T)$ . Then  $\lambda = (V_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i))$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Then, we have  $\text{int}(\lambda) = \text{int}(V_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i))$ .

Since  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space,  $\text{int}(V_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{int}(\lambda) = 0$  for any fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category set  $\lambda$  in  $(X, T)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -residual set in  $(X, T)$ . Then  $(1 - \mu)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category set in  $(X, T)$ . By hypothesis,  $\text{int}(1 - \mu) = 0$ . Then  $1 - \text{cl}(\lambda) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{cl}(\lambda) = 1$  for any fuzzy  $\sigma$ -residual set  $\mu$  in  $(X, T)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category set in  $(X, T)$ . Then  $\lambda = (V_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i))$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Now  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy first  $\sigma$ -category set in  $(X, T)$  implies that  $(1 - \lambda)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -residual set in  $(X, T)$ . By hypothesis, we have  $\text{cl}(1 - \lambda) = 1$ . Then  $1 - \text{int}(\lambda) = 1$ . Hence  $\text{int}(\lambda) = 0$ . That is,  $\text{int}(V_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = 0$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Hence  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space.

**PROPOSITION 4. 2:** If  $\text{cl}(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = 1$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy dense  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in  $(X, T)$ , then  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space.

**PROOF:** Now  $\text{cl}(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = 1$  implies that  $1 - \text{cl}(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = 0$ . Then we have  $\text{int}(1 - (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i))) = 0$ , which implies that  $\text{int}(V_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 - \lambda_i)) = 0$ . Let  $\mu_i = 1 - \lambda_i$ .

Then  $\text{int}(V_{i=1}^{\infty}(\mu_i)) = 0$ . Since  $\lambda_i$  is a fuzzy dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $(X, T)$ , by proposition 3. 1,  $1 - \lambda_i$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ . Hence  $\text{int}(V_{i=1}^{\infty}(\mu_i)) = 0$ , where  $\mu_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Therefore  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space.

**PROPOSITION 4. 3:** If the fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space, then  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -second category space.

**PROOF:** Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space. Then  $\text{int}(V_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = 0$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Then  $V_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i) \neq 1_x$  [Other wise,  $V_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i) = 1_x$  implies that  $\text{int}(V_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = \text{int} 1_x = 1_x$ , which implies that  $0 = 1$ , a contradiction]. Hence  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -second category space.

**REMARKS:** The converse of the above proposition need not be true. A fuzzy  $\sigma$ -second category space need not be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space. For, consider the following

example:

**EXAMPLE4. 2:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  be the fuzzy sets defined on  $X$  as follows:

- $\lambda: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\lambda(a) = 0.5; \lambda(b) = 0.6; \lambda(c) = 0.7$ .
- $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\mu(a) = 0.8; \mu(b) = 0.4; \mu(c) = 0.5$ .
- $\nu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\nu(a) = 0.7; \nu(b) = 0.5; \nu(c) = 0.8$

Then  $T = \{0, \lambda, \mu, \nu, \lambda \vee \mu, \lambda \vee \nu, \mu \vee \nu, \lambda \wedge \mu, \lambda \wedge \nu, \mu \wedge \nu, \lambda \vee (\mu \wedge \nu), \mu \vee (\lambda \wedge \nu), \nu \wedge (\lambda \vee \mu), \lambda \vee \mu \vee \nu, 1\}$  is a fuzzy topology on  $X$ . Now the fuzzy set  $\alpha = \{(1 - \mu) \vee (1 - \nu) \vee (1 - (\lambda \vee \mu)) \vee (1 - (\lambda \vee \nu)) \vee (1 - (\mu \vee \nu)) \vee (1 - (\lambda \vee \mu \vee \nu))\}$ , is a fuzzy  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $(X, T)$  and  $\text{int}(\alpha) = 0$  and hence is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ .

Also  $\beta = \{(1 - \lambda) \vee (1 - [\lambda \wedge \nu]) \vee (1 - (\lambda \vee [\mu \wedge \nu])) \vee ((1 - [\mu \vee (\lambda \wedge \nu)]) \vee (1 - [\nu \wedge (\lambda \vee \mu)]))\}$  is a fuzzy  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $(X, T)$  and  $\text{int}(\beta) = 0$  and hence is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ . Now  $(\alpha \vee \beta) \neq 1_x$ . Therefore  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -second category space. But  $\text{int}(\alpha \vee \beta) = \lambda \wedge \mu \neq 0$  and therefore  $(X, T)$  is not a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space.

**REMARKS:** A fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space need not be a fuzzy Baire space. For, consider the following example:

**EXAMPLE 4. 3:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ . The fuzzy sets  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\nu$  are defined on  $X$  as follows:

- $\lambda: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\lambda(a) = 1; \lambda(b) = 0.2; \lambda(c) = 0.7$ .
- $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\mu(a) = 0.3; \mu(b) = 1; \mu(c) = 0.2$ .
- $\nu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as  $\nu(a) = 0.7; \nu(b) = 0.4; \nu(c) = 1$ .

Then  $T = \{0, \lambda, \mu, \nu, \lambda \vee \mu, \lambda \vee \nu, \mu \vee \nu, \lambda \wedge \mu, \lambda \wedge \nu, \mu \wedge \nu, \mu \vee (\lambda \wedge \nu), \nu \vee (\lambda \wedge \mu), \nu \wedge (\lambda \vee \mu), \lambda \vee \mu \vee \nu, 1\}$  is a fuzzy topology on  $X$ . Now  $1 - \lambda, 1 - \mu, 1 - \nu, 1 - (\lambda \vee \mu), 1 - (\lambda \vee \nu), 1 - (\mu \vee \nu), 1 - (\lambda \wedge \nu), 1 - [\mu \vee (\lambda \wedge \nu)], 1 - [\nu \vee (\lambda \wedge \mu)], 1 - [\nu \wedge (\lambda \vee \mu)]$  are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ .  $1 - (\lambda \wedge \mu) = \{(1 - \lambda) \vee (1 - \mu) \vee (1 - \nu) \vee (1 - (\lambda \vee \mu)) \vee (1 - (\lambda \vee \nu)) \vee (1 - (\mu \vee \nu)) \vee (1 - [\mu \vee (\lambda \wedge \nu)]) \vee (1 - [\nu \vee (\lambda \wedge \mu)]) \vee (1 - [\nu \wedge (\lambda \vee \mu)])\}$ . Therefore,  $1 - (\lambda \wedge \mu)$  is a fuzzy first category set in  $(X, T)$ . But  $\text{int}(1 - (\lambda \wedge \mu)) = \lambda \wedge \mu \neq 0$ . Hence  $(X, T)$  is not a fuzzy Baire Space. Now  $\alpha = (1 - \nu) \vee (1 - [\lambda \vee \nu]) \vee [1 - (\mu \vee \nu)]$  and  $\text{int}(\alpha) = 0$ .  $\beta = (1 - \lambda) \vee (1 - [\lambda \vee \mu]) \vee [1 - (\lambda \wedge \nu)] \vee (1 - [\mu \vee (\lambda \wedge \nu)]) \vee [1 - [\nu \vee (\lambda \wedge \mu)]]$  and  $\text{int}(\beta) = 0$ . Hence  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Now the fuzzy  $(\alpha \vee \beta)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category set in  $(X, T)$  and  $\text{int}((\alpha \vee \beta)) = 0$ . Hence  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space.

**PROPOSITION 4. 4:** If the fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire and fuzzy open hereditarily irresolvable space, then  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy Baire Space.

**PROOF:** Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire Space and fuzzy open hereditarily irresolvable space. Then,  $\text{int}(\bigvee_{i=1}^\infty (\lambda_i)) = 0$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ .

By proposition 3. 4,  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Hence,  $\text{int}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = 0$ , where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Therefore  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy Baire Space.

**PROPOSITION 4. 5:** If the fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy Baire Space and if the fuzzy nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$  are fuzzy  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets in  $(X, T)$ , then  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire Space.

**PROOF:** Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy Baire Space such that every fuzzy nowhere dense set  $\lambda_i$  is a fuzzy  $F_{\sigma}$ -set in  $(X, T)$ . Then,  $\text{int}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = 0$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . By proposition 3. 3,  $\lambda_i$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ . Hence  $\text{int}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = 0$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ . Therefore  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire Space.

**PROPOSITION 4. 6:** Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy topological space. If  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i) \neq 0$ , where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy dense and fuzzy  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in  $(X, T)$ , then  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -second category space.

**PROOF:** Now  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i) \neq 0$  implies that  $1 - (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) \neq 1 - 0 = 0$ . Then we have  $(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 - \lambda_i)) \neq 1$ . Since  $\lambda_i$  is a fuzzy dense and fuzzy  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $(X, T)$ , by proposition 3. 1,  $1 - \lambda_i$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense set in  $(X, T)$ . Hence  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 - \lambda_i) \neq 1$ , where  $(1 - \lambda_i)$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(X, T)$ . Hence  $(X, T)$  is not a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category space. Therefore  $(X, T)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -second category space.

## 5. FUNCTIONS AND FUZZY $\sigma$ -BAIRE SPACES

**DEFINITION 5. 1 [1] :** A function  $f: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$  from a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  into another fuzzy topological space  $(Y, S)$ , is said to be fuzzy open if the image of every fuzzy open set in  $(X, T)$ , is fuzzy open in  $(Y, S)$ .

**Definition 5. 2 [9] :** A function  $f: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$  from a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  into another fuzzy topological space  $(Y, S)$ , is called fuzzy contra-continuous if  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  is fuzzy closed (open) in  $(X, T)$ , for each fuzzy open (closed) set  $\lambda$  in  $(Y, S)$ .

**Proposition 5. 1:** If  $f: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$  is a fuzzy contra-continuous and fuzzy open function from a topological space  $(X, T)$  onto a fuzzy open hereditarily irresolvable space  $(Y, S)$ , then  $(Y, S)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space.

**PROOF:** Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category set in  $(Y, S)$ . Then  $\lambda = (\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i))$  where  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets in  $(Y, S)$ . Suppose that  $\text{int}(\lambda) \neq 0$ . Then there exists a fuzzy open set  $\mu \neq 0$  in  $(Y, S)$  such that  $\mu \leq \lambda$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq f^{-1}(\lambda) = f^{-1}(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}(\lambda_i)) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(\lambda_i)$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\lambda_i))$ . Since  $f$  is a fuzzy contra-continuous function and  $\text{cl}(\lambda_i)$  is a fuzzy closed set in  $(Y, S)$ ,  $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\lambda_i))$  is fuzzy open in  $(X, T)$ . Hence we have,  $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\lambda_i)) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{int}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\lambda_i)))$



Since  $f$  is fuzzy open and onto,  $\text{int}(f^{-1}(\lambda_i)) \leq (f^{-1} \text{int}(\lambda_i))$  and therefore we have  $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(\text{int} \text{cl}(\lambda_i))$ . Since  $(Y, S)$  is a fuzzy open hereditarily irresolvable space, by proposition 3. 4, the fuzzy  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets  $\lambda_i$ 's are fuzzy nowhere dense sets in  $(Y, S)$ . Hence we have  $\text{int} \text{cl}(\lambda_i) = 0$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(0) = 0$ .

That is,  $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq 0$  and hence  $f^{-1}(\mu) = 0$  which implies that  $\mu = 0$ , a contradiction to  $\mu \neq 0$ . Hence we must have  $\text{int}(\lambda) = 0$  where  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -first category set in  $(Y, S)$ . Hence by proposition 4. 1,  $(Y, S)$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ -Baire space.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. K. AZAD, On Fuzzy semi continuity, Fuzzy almost continuity and Fuzzy weakly continuity, J. Math. Anal, Appl. , 82 (1981), 14 – 32.
- [2] B. AHMAD and ATHAR KHARAL, Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy S-open and S-closed mappings, Adv. Fuzzy Systems, Vol . 2009, Article ID 303042, 5 pages, 2009.
- [3] G. BALASUBRAMANIAN, Maximal fuzzy topologies, Kybernetika No. 5, 31 (1995), 459-464.
- [4] C. L CHANG, Fuzzy Topological Spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. , 24, (1968), 182-190.
- [5] JILING CAO AND SINA GREENWOOD, The ideal generated by  $\sigma$ -nowhere dense sets, Applied General Topology, Vol. No. 1, (2000), 1-3.
- [6] M. S. EL. NASCHIE, On the certification of heterotic strings, M theory and  $e^{\infty}$ Theory, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals (2000), 2397 – 2408.
- [7] GRUENHAGE G AND LUTZER D, Baire and Volterra Spaces, Proc. Amer. Soc. 128 (2000), 3115-3124.
- [8] G. THANGARAJ and G. BALASUBRAMANIAN, On Somewhat Fuzzy continuous functions, J. Fuzzy Math. , Vol. 11, No. 2, 1-12, 2003.
- [9] G. THANGARAJ and G. BALASUBRAMANIAN, On fuzzy contra-continuous functions, Adv. Fuzzy Math. , Vol. 2, No. 1-2, 17-25, 2007.
- [10] G. THANGARAJ and G. BALASUBRAMANIAN, On Fuzzy Resolvable and Fuzzy irresolvable spaces, Fuzzy Sets, sets and Multivalued Operation and Applications, Vol. 1, No. 2, 173-180, 2009.
- [11] ZBIGNIEW DUSZYNSKI, Functions and Baire Spaces, Kragujevac J. of Math. vol. 35, No. 1, (2011), 5 – 12.
- [12] L. A. ZADEH, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control, Vol. 8, 338-358, 1965.

